

Empowering public authorities and professionals towards trauma-informed leaving care support

The European CarePath Policy Conference

WP5 / A5.3 / D5.3.3



Co-funded by the European Union's Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme (2014-2020)













Project information

Project acronym:	CarePath
Project title:	Empowering public authorities and professionals towards trauma- informed leaving care support
Agreement number:	785698
EU programme:	Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme (2014-2020)
Project website:	<u>carepath-project.eu</u>

Prepared by

Name:	Ciaran O'Donnell
Authoring partner:	Eurochild
Position:	Policy and Project Assistant
Submission date:	26/10/2020

Approved on behalf of CarePath

Name:	Luca Rolle
Partner:	UNITO
Position:	Project Coordinator
Approval date:	01/11/2020

 $\odot\mbox{CarePath}-\mbox{Empowering public authorities and professionals towards trauma-informed leaving care support, 2019}$

Disclaimer:

This report was funded by the European Union's Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme (2014-2020). The content of the report represents the views of the author only and is his/her sole responsibility. The European Commission does not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.



D5.3.3: The European CarePath

Policy Conference

European CarePath Policy Conference/



Introduction and overview of the CarePath Conference

The European CarePath Policy Conference of the *CarePath project* sit within Work Package 5 (WP5), 'Dissemination and Sustainability', which is focused on the implementation of the project's dissemination and sustainability strategy.

The overall communication goal of the *CarePath project* will be to create added value of project results as well as to spread and to successfully exploit the CarePath deliverables. With regard to specific objectives, the Communication and Sustainability Plan identifies them as follows:

- 1. **In terms of communication:** To ensure high visibility of the project and to create and raise awareness towards relevant stakeholders at local, national and European levels
- 2. In terms of dissemination: To ensure effective dissemination of project deliverables and results to key targets and broader audiences in public, academic and non-governmental sectors at local, national and European levels
- 3. **In terms of sustainability:** To ensure that stakeholders are aware of availability of a free mechanism for integrated aftercare support and are enabled to use project results in their own work
- 4. **In terms of EU added value:** To promote the EU added value by demonstrating how EU funding contributes to tackling societal challenges and promoting the rights of the child.

Specifically, Work Package 5 entailed the preparation of dissemination materials and the organisation of dissemination activities and events, that are intended to 'build the case' for the *CarePath project* in line with the overall vision and goals of the project. The CarePath conference represented the flagship event of the project's dissemination activities.

The conference, titled **Aftercare for Care Leavers: Learning lessons from across Europe**, aimed to achieve the following:

- Raise the crucial need for the reform of national and local child protection systems across the EU so that all children and young people receive aftercare upon leaving care, especially in light of the COVID-19 pandemic.6
- Engage participants through exchange of existing practices, identifying gaps in provision, and investigate the role that the EU could play as a driver for change.
- Provide an opportunity for young care leavers to give guidance to EU decision makers on inclusive participation practices of children and young people who are ageing out of care.
- Disseminate resources and policy guidance developed under the *CarePath project*.



• Engage attendees to show their support for mainstreaming trauma-informed care in European child protection systems by endorsing the "D5.4.1 Memorandum of Understanding".

Format of the CarePath Policy Conference

The CarePath Policy Conference marked the conclusion of a 2-year awareness raising and communications campaign to promote the key messages and results developed under the *CarePath project*. The Conference was envisioned to take place in Brussels with at least 200 participants. The conference was to be implemented in English, given this language's central role in European policymaking and as the most transferable EU language to project partners and participants. Participants were to be drawn mainly from public authorities from the EU27 (plus the UK), as well as stakeholders, NGOs, associations and bodies responsible for children in alternative care, care leavers and disadvantaged groups of children.

The conference was originally planned for April 2020 for an in-person standard conference format. However, due to the exceptional circumstances of COVID19, international travel was neither advised nor encouraged. Therefore, the CarePath partnership took the decision to postpone the conference, and with it the project closure of the *CarePath project*, to later in the year to October 2020. The CarePath partnership and Eurochild, as coordinators for this deliverable, highly appreciate the flexibility of the European Commission and attached desk officer who supported this decision.

This disruption to initial planning unsurprisingly presented a huge challenge to the CarePath partnership, and coordinating partner Eurochild, in terms of time lost to planning, logistics & communications. However, thanks to the support and dedication of internal team members, partnership colleagues & flexibility from our funder, the CarePath Policy Conference was successfully adapted to an online format. With 620 registrants to attend the conference on the day, and a total of approximate 6,000 views of the livestream recordings that continue to be freely available for watch-back, the CarePath partnership was able to reach a wider audience than previously envisioned.

The conference was hosted on Zoom Webinar with a limit on attendance to 500. To enhance the conference experience, the CarePath partnership, led by coordinator Eurochild, utilised the Whova Conference Management System application to create a digital conference space for attendees to watch the proceedings, to pose questions & to network with one another.

Additionally, the conference was livestreamed via Facebook Live on Eurochild's Facebook page, the largest and most active of the Partnership's social media accounts. This was chosen over the project's Facebook page due to the considerable difference in followers – 185 for CarePath vs 7,600 for Eurochild.



Having summarised the conference, the remainder of this report provides information on the gathered materials that accompany this report, namely:

- The conference agenda & programme
- The registrations
- Info on how the conference was disseminated
- Documentation of the conference proceedings
- Available presentations for conference speakers & written responses to the conference Q&A; and
- Pictures, social media coverage of the conference.

The conference agenda & programme

To set the scene for the conference, the agenda & programme succinctly outlined the purpose of the conference, as well as offering practical information such as speakers & the event agenda. In doing so, it established the link between the goal of the project – more trauma-informed aftercare in four countries – with wider EU and international initiatives around reforming child protection and alternative care systems. The explicit linking between the work of the *CarePath project*, a short-term project-based programme, within the wider frame of EU policy & funding served to demonstrate the 'added value' of EU investment into social affairs across Member States.

The conference programme and agenda was therefore a key dissemination tool for promoting the conference. It was sent to all relevant organisational and individual stakeholders & via online / social media outreach, as discussed below.

Registrations

Registrations were collected by coordinating partner Eurochild, using the Wufoo form & report management programme. The total registrations for the Conference can be found in the accompanying zip folder. Registrations are available on excel and in word format. Additionally, an image of the registration form is also provided – where viewers can see how this was formatted to ensure that registrants were aware of their rights under GDPR as prepared by partner organisation Eurochild.

Distinction has been made in the files available for the first 500 registrants to the conference and the 120 who registered afterwards. For those later registrants, they were directed to watch only via the livestream. The partnership did not anticipate to supersede the 500 attendee limit, having originally planned the conference with a 200 attendee goal. However, thanks to the use of ICT and livestreaming linked functionality with Zoom, we were successfully able to manage this adaption.



The CarePath Conference Dissemination

Central dissemination for the Conference

The CarePath Conference were supported with project communication materials and the project's web resources, developed under 'Deliverable 5.2.2 Communication Materials'. CarePath communication materials included were prepared in each partners' languages, and included:

- Consistent use of project logos and branding
- Project brochures
- Project flyers (both general and for the massively open online course (MOOC))
- Project banners

Additionally, the Conference Agenda and Programme proved a very useful tool for sharing the conference and engaging with potential speakers, to share useful background on the project, its key messages and its added value to the conversation around the conference theme of 'aftercare' in a EU context.

The project's social media channels (<u>Twitter</u>, <u>Linkedin</u> and <u>Facebook</u>) and the <u>website</u> & <u>newsletters</u> were all utilised well to promote and supported the CarePath Conference dissemination to help reach higher audience participation and communicate the key messages to additional audiences - leading up to, during and following the conference day.

Contact was made with key organisations and bodies working internationally and nationally within the sphere of child protection, and also more specifically aftercare. These organisations include:

Barnados, Ireland Better Care Network CELCIS, Scotland Centre for Effective Services, Ireland Child Protection Hub for South Eastern Europe Children of Prisoners Europe The Child Rights Action Group – EU civil society alliance for children's riahts The Child Rights Intergroup of the **European Parliament** The European Economic and Social Committee civil society newsletter Euractive Eurochild's Children in Alternative Care Working Group The Foundation for Social Welfare Services, Malta

The Initiative for Children in Migration The International Foster Care Organisation The International Care Leaver Convention Lumos Missing Children Europe PICUM The NHS Education for Scotland Rädda Barnen (Save the Children), Sweden Rights, Equality and Citizenship funded projects for the same action as CarePath Refugee Rights Europe Save the Children EU Social Platform SOS Children's Villages International



Terres des Hommes International Federation EU Together Scotland Tusla, the Irish Child and Family Agency European Youth Forum



Cooperation amongst the partners for dissemination

Local dissemination was instrumental in achieving the goals for the CarePath Conference. Project partners disseminated the promotion for the event, the registration form and regular updates prompting their networks to join. In Belgium/Europe, Eurochild shared the conference registrations, press releases and related materials frequently in their bi-monthly members Infoflash to their almost 200 members, as well as in their monthly e-News Bulletin to an audience of 6,000+. In Greece, colleagues in e-trikala, Ergo and ReadLab all shared the conference with their networks in Greek & English. In Hungary, Cordelia shared info in Hungarian & English with their professional networks. Partners in Italy – UNITO and IACP – shared with their significant networks – both student-based and professionals. These efforts, along with the central dissemination of the WP coordinator, were demonstrably impactful. An examination of the accompanying registrations in the zip folder will testify to this. Additionally, sample evidence of dissemination across each country of the partnership is also available in the zip folder.

Proof of the event

In the accompanying zip folder, you can find various demonstrations of the event, namely:

- Documentation of the conference proceedings
- Available presentations for conference speakers & written responses to the conference Q&A
- Pictures, social media coverage of the conference

Documentation is available in the form of gathered key messages and notes from the 21 speakers who intervened during the proceedings. Presentations, where available, written responses by speakers to the Q&A from the conference; and follow-up Letters of Thanks are all provided as well, as is the conference evaluation form. Finally, social media Tweets of the day's highlights, as well as the livestream recording links, are also made available. All of these materials are provided in the zip folder accompanying this report. In the annexes of this conference report, you can also find the event programme, as well as examples of dissemination and the registrants list.

Conclusion

Our project conference, Aftercare for Care Leavers, was an empowering event to symbolise the close of the *CarePath project*. This empowering impact is demonstrable from the following, albeit this is a non-exhaustive list:

- Many highly stimulating speaker interventions Professor Mike Stein's calls for the EU to help 'level up' European child protection systems for more and better aftercare resonated with policymakers and attendees alike.
- Positive feedback from partners and speakers the evaluation carried out after the conference offers some insight into this; Letters of Thanks were sent



to all speakers calling for them to continue commitment to CarePath and its key messages on aftercare (both in the zip folder)

• Connections made and fostered through the course of organising and implementing the conference – for example the coordinating partner Eurochild was asked to advise on the International Care Leaver Convention that followed the CarePath Conference in November 2020.

The Conference is a great example of how our partnership has come to work together towards a greater purpose over the course of these two years, adapting to the titanic changes around us while still delivering on our commitments as per the project proposal. In the case of the Conference, we achieved more than 3 times our expected participant list, which is a strong testament to the success of this deliverable, and the partnership behind it.



Annex 1: The CarePath Conference concept note and programme

#CarePathConference #aftercare #leavingcare #traumainformedcare Aftercare for Care Leavers: Learning lessons from across Europe **Conference concept note & programme** Date and Time: 13 October 2020, 10h00-12h30 & 14h00-15h30. Online - hosted by Eurochild Setting the scene for the conference The transition out of public care is a challenging period for every young person concerned, particularly as they are likely to have experienced significant trauma in childhood. The process of 'ageing out' of care is supported very differently between and within countries across the European Union (EU). Some national child protection systems provide support for young people preparing to leave care and provide psychosocial aftercare support, while others offer little to no preparation for an independent life after their time in care. Given that children and young people with care experiences are a highly vulnerable group, not receiving support during their transition from care risks failing to prevent life lasting costs for both the individual and wider society.¹ The COVID-19 pandemic has only served to exacerbate these risks, as the pandemic heightens risks of isolation and separation from peers, lacking access to education and livelihood opportunities, including financial support, housing, and other forms of support for their daily needs.² With this reality in mind, Eurochild, together with our international partners, is convening a final online conference for the CarePath project. The partnership involves 7 organisations working across four EU countries (Belgium: Eurochild; Greece: E-trikala, ERGO and ReadLab; Hungary: the Cordelia Foundation for the Rehabilitation of Torture Victims; and Italy: the Institute for the Person-Centred Approach and the University of Torino's Departments of Psychology and Law) working together to promote a trauma-informed care approach for children and young people ageing out of care. The conference will comprise of two sessions: a morning session (10h-12h30, CET) to exchange lessons on promising EU aftercare practices, identify gaps in national policy, legislation and service provision, and investigate how the EU can drive change; and an afternoon session (14h-15h30, CET) that will showcase how trauma-informed care is helping children and young people as they make the transition from care across Europe, especially during COVID19 Attendees will be engaged from the EU institutions and international organisations, (sub-)national public authorities, NGOs, universities, corporates, as well as care professionals and, most importantly, young people with experiences of care themselves. While the CarePath project is EU-focused, given the online format of this event, participants will also be welcomed from beyond the EU. This conference will take place in an important ¹ Children with experiences of living in alternative care are over-represented in (available) national statistics relating to a host of social outcomes, in terms of physical and mental health costs, labour activity and costs to social, education and criminal justice system. For example see Heckman, J. and Karapakula, G. (2019) The Perry Preschoolers at Late Midlife: A Study in Design-Specific Inference: working paper, National Bureau of Economic Research; and OECD/EU (2018) Health at a Glance: Europe 2018: State of Health in the EU Cycle, which showed the costs of mental ill-health in Europe reaching 4% of GDP in 2018. Further, see OECD (2019) Changing the Odds for Vulnerable Children: Building Opportunities and Resilience; and UNESCO (2019) Policy Paper 38: Education as healing: Addressing the trauma of displacement through social and emotional learning. ² Better Care Network, the Alliance for Child Protection in Humanitarian Action and UNICEF (2020) Technical note: Protection of Children During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Children in Alternative Care; Hope and Homes for Children and Lumos (2020) Call to action to protect vulnerable families and children in alternative care across Europe: 1st International Care Leavers Convention (2020): Declaration on Responding to the transnational needs of Care Leavers amidst COVID-19

and beyond.

European CarePath Policy Conference/

🚳 UNVIXERTA 👬 IRCP 📒 Eurochiel 🕅 ReadLab 🙎 🚥 🛛 🧮 e-trikala





crossroad in EU legislature, as the EU prepares to roll out its new Budget for 2021-2027, including the new Child Guarantee initiative within the European Social Fund+ and the EU's Recovery Package to support Member States' economic recovery from the pandemic. These instruments must be focused on enhancing the protection of all vulnerable children and young people, including those who age out of the care system during these times.

Background and context for the conference

By the best available estimates, approximately 1 million children are in alternative care across Europe.³ When these children reach the age of majority (18 in most European countries), they age out of child protection systems and transition to independent living. This is often a turbulent and exciting time for children from all backgrounds, as they rapidly gain independence through greater financial autonomy, the transition from education to employment, and to a new social environment. However, for children in alternative care this is an especially vulnerable time: the formal support network provided by integrated child protection systems, including foster families and/or other care professionals, may cease to support the care leaver once they have aged out of care. International human rights frameworks identify this period, referred to as aftercare, as an important and necessary area for State support.⁴

Aftercare should involve psychological and social support tailored to each individual, delivered by professionals who are trained to work with children who may have experienced trauma. With appropriate aftercare support, care leavers can transition to adulthood and independent living. Such aftercare needs to be focused on building resilience and self-reliance, as outlined in the UN Guidelines on Alternative Care. This type of psychosocial support is referred to as trauma-informed care approach, which is gaining prominence in a number of European countries.⁵

For over 10 years, Eurochild has continually advocated to end institutional care for all children in Europe. In line with the implementation of the UN Guidelines for the Alternative Care of Children, Eurochild promotes family strengthening and family- and community-based care for children in alternative care. This includes aftercare for care leavers that is integrated into national and local child protection systems, which is not currently available for every care leaver across Europe. Together, the project partners of the CarePath project are advocating to address this European-wide gap of aftercare services.

⁵ Trauma-informed care is increasingly seeing adoption in Europe. In addition to the CarePath project, in Sweden, <u>Save the Children (Rädda Barnen)</u> have been delivering trauma-informed care training to professionals for several years alongside Australian expert Dr Howard Bath. In Scotland, the <u>National Health Service Education for Scotland</u> have been implementing a National Trauma Training Framework across its services. There is also increased professional activity in this field amongst professionals in <u>Ireland</u> and the wider <u>United Kingdom</u>



³ Eurochild (2010) National Surveys: Children in Alternative Care.

⁴ The <u>United Nations Convention on the Right of the Child (Article 20)</u> outlines that children deprived of a family environment are entitled to special protection and assistance by the State. The <u>UN Guidelines on Alternative Care</u> (<u>paragraphs 131-136</u>) outline how aftercare should prepare children for self-reliance and full integration into wider society.





carepath	#CarePathConference #aftercare #leavingcare #traumainformedcare AFTERCARE FOR CARE LEAVERS: CAREPATH CONFERENCE PROGRAMME		
10.00-	The online conference room opens at 10:00.		
10.10	At 10.05, as host Eurochild will open the conference with:		
	Welcome & introductions		
	 Guidelines & <i>digital</i> housekeeping for the day 		
10.10- 10.40	Opening remarks: Shining the spotlight on young people transitioning out of public care in Europe		
	- Jana Hainsworth, Secretary General, Eurochild (chair)		
	- Prof. Laura Scomparin, Vice-Rector for Institutional Coordination, Department of Law & Dr Luca Rollè, Associate Professor, Department of Psychology at the University of Turin & CarePath project coordinator		
	- Dragoş Pîslaru, Member of the European Parliament (PLUS, Renew Europe) and Vice-Chair of the Intergroup on Children's Rights, Romania		
10.40- 11.10	Key note: 'Supporting young people from care to adulthood: policy and practice in European countries'		
 Chair and moderator: Jana Hainsworth, Secretary General, Eurochild Professor Mike Stein, Emeritus Professor in the Department of Social Policy and Social University of York and joint founder and coordinator of the International Research Network Transitions to Adulthood from Care (INTRAC) between 2003 and 2018 Response from Astrid Podsiadlowski, Project Manager - Rights of the Child, European Agency for Fundamental Rights 			
	11.10-11.30: VIRTUAL TEA AND COFFEE BREAK		
11.30-	'How can Europe better provide for care leavers?'		
12.30	Roundtable panel linking policy, practice, & lived-experience to demonstrate opportunities for positive system reform and change for care leavers after they have left care.		
	Chair & moderator: Professor Alberto Zucconi, President of the Institute of Person-Centred Approach		
	Panellists:		
	1. International Civil Society: Richard Pichler, Special Representative for External Affairs and		
	Resources, SOS Children's Villages International		
	 Resources, SOS Children's Villages International Lived experience: Terry Dignan, CEO of Empowering People in Care Ireland Lived experience: Ian Thomas, Practice Development Officer, Martin James Foundation 		



	 #leavingcare #traumainformedcare 4. European Commission: Valeria Setti, Coordinator for the Rights of the Child & Rights Equality and Citizenship funding programme (DG JUST) 		
	Q&A of 5-10 minutes		
	Closing remarks by Katarina Ivanković-Knežević , Director of Social Affairs, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion (DG EMPL)		
	12.30-14.00: END OF MORNING SESSION / LUNCH BREAK		
14.00- 14.30	The afternoon session examines how national practices are working to best support children and young people leaving care using a trauma-informed approach		
14.00- 14.05	Opening session and admitting participants.		
14.05-	Presentation of the CarePath project, partnership, key project outcomes and outputs for more trauma-informed care for care leavers across Europe.		
14.30	- Dr Luca Rollè, Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, University of Turin & CarePath project coordinator		
	- Christina Karaberi, Psychologist, Department of Research and Communication, e-trikala		
	- Vassiliki Chatzipetrou, Co-Founder & Director, EU & International Cooperation Department, Research Innovation and Development Lab - ReadLab		
	- Antonella Ferrero, Research Fellow - CarePath project, Department of Law, University of Turin		
	- Andrea Cabiale, Researcher of Criminal Procedure, Department of Law University of Turin		
14.30- 15.20			
	Chair and moderator: Dr Luca Rollè, Associate Professor, Department of Psychology at University of Turin & CarePath project coordinator		
	 Ioanna Georgouli and Panagiotis Sofios, Ergo – working with refugee care leavers in Greece. Ágnes De Coll, Hungarian Baptist Aid – supporting victims of sexual violence and trafficking in Hungary. 		
	 Care experienced advocates and co-trainers in the SOS Children's Villages International 'Leaving Care' project: 		
	 Fabienne Landerer, Vice-President of the Austrian Care Leavers Association Andreeas Novacovici, President, and Napoleon Valentin Alexandru, Vice-President, of the Institutionalised Youth Council in Romania 		
	Q&A of 5-10 minutes		
	Closing remarks by Dr Luca Rollè		
	CONFERENCE CLOSE		



Annex 2: CarePath Conference: Evidence of dissemination – Press Release

🔗 carepath

HOME CAREPATH PROJECT - NEWS & EVENTS CONTACT SUBSCRIBE

"Aftercare for Care Leavers: Learning lessons from across Europe" Online conference on how the EU can improve services for care leavers across Europe

Home / News & events

(12)

(1)



"Aftercare for Care Leavers: Learning lessons from across Europe" Online conference on how the EU can improve services for care leavers across Europe

The transition to adulthood and independent living is a challenging time for many young people. Thankfully, most have their families to fall back on when life doesn't go exactly to plan. Young people who have grown up in public care usually don't have that luxury. And too often state support is removed, or cut back when a young person turns 18. The consequences can be devastating not only for the young people involved but for society as a whole. The CarePath Conferences shines the light on the need for aftercare for care leavers across Europe Registrations open for CarePath's final online conference - New date: 13 October 2020 CarePath Integrated

Service Provision Mechanism is now live!



The availability of 'aftercare' - state support for young people who have left care - varies between and within European countries in terms of availability and quality. The COVID-19 pandemic and its containment measures have only accentuated the challenges these young people face.



CarePath project's second newsletter now available!

On 13 October, the international CarePath Project is convening an online conference to raise the issue of aftercare for children and young people on the EU agenda.

"This conference puts the spotlight on young people all over Europe who are transitioning out of public care. Many have experienced childhood trauma and are especially vulnerable during this period. The systems of after-care support are very different between and within countries. By bringing together the voices of academics, practitioners and 'care-experienced' young people, the conference will explore what the EU can do to encourage countries to improve their after-care policies and practices." – Jana Hainsworth, Secretary General, Eurochild.

The conference aims to raise the crucial need for reform of Europe's child protection systems so the approximate 1 million children growing up in care in Europe (Eurochild, 2010) have access to aftercare. Furthermore, measures need to be put in place to ensure that aftercare, where it is available, is not disrupted by containment measures introduced in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

"Policy and practice examples from different European countries demonstrate how they can make an important contribution to promoting the resilience of young people from care to adulthood by achieving stability and continuity in foster, residential care and kinship care; by having a strong legal framework: in involving young people in both individual and policy decisions: and by providing a range of leaving care services to support young people on their pathways to adulthood." - Mike Stein, Professor Emeritus, University of York

The voice of care leavers must be central to the development of aftercare policies. Attendees will also hear from care-experienced advocates who will share their lived experiences of growing up in state care to encourage countries to improve their aftercare policies and practices.

"In the child protection system we can see some changes, but they are not sufficient down here at the grassroots level. We face a lot of problems and challenges such as unemployment due to the lack of good quality education, housing and health benefits aftercare. We are not prepared for the independent living and as such we face a lot of uncertainty, we are vulnerable and some of us end up in prostitution or child pornography. This has to STOP, NOW!" – Andreaas Novacovici, Careexperienced advocate from SOS Children's Villages International and President of the Institutionalised Council of Youth Romania

This conference takes place at an important crossroad in EU legislature, as the EU prepares to roll out its new Budget for 2021-2027, including the upcoming European Child Guarantee initiative, the European Social Fund+ and the Next Generation EU recovery fund. These instruments must be focused on enhancing the protection of all vulnerable children and young people, including those who age out of the care system during these times.

For more information and to register for the CarePath conference

For more information: Ciaran O'Donnell

Policy and Projects Assistant, Eurochild

+32 45 612 9180

Ciaran.odonnell@eurochild.org

About the CarePath project

The CarePath project is an international partnership of 7 organisations across Europe working together to promote a trauma-informed care approach for children and young people ageing out of care. The project is funded by the Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme of the European Commission (2014-2020).

www.carepath-project.eu





Annex 3: CarePath Conference – social media screenshots of proceedings

1. Screenshot of the conference morning session livestream from Facebook

	+ • •
Empowering public authorities and professionals towards trauma-informed leaving care support	Eurochild was live Published by Max Laura O- October 13, 2020 O CarePath Conference: Aftercare for Care Leavers: Exchanging The morning session for our CarePath
Welcome to the CarePath Conference!	Conference Conference 25 Comments 4.8K Views
Follow the conversation on:	Comments Hide
🗾 🖬 🗓	Most Relevant - Clodagh Hourigan · 0:27 Well done Ciaran!
#CarePathConference #aftercare #leavingcare #traumainformedcare	Like Reply - Message - 12w Aggie Grondas - 58:53 Thank you very much for this presentation!
	Like - Reply - Message - 12w View 22 more comments
▶ 003/23925 ····································	Comment as Eurochild 🛛 🕲 🕲

2. Screenshot of the conference afternoon session livestream from Facebook

⊗ Ŧ	Expression guidic authorities and preferomatic timeschi tonunt-informed lesiong size support	+ O + · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	CarePath Project: a Journey long 2 years and 6 months	OO 29 13 Comments 1.1K Views
	Luca Rollé on behalf of the partnership Online, 13 October 2020	Most Relevant v Andreeas Novacovici - 25:45 Congratulations!!!! Corganulations!!!! Love - Reply - Message - 12w
		Loredana Versaci : 1:35:08 Loredana Versaci : 1:35:08 Thank you very much for this conference. Congratulations and keep up the good work! Comment as Eurochild



3. Sample tweet from the CarePath Twitter handle during the conference:





Annex 4: CarePath Conference Registration form and final Participants List

1. Registration form

	th Online Conference 13 October 2020
CarePath Pro	j <u>ect</u> Online Conference: "Aftercare for Care Leavers: Learning lessons from across Europe"
Date and Tim	e: 13 October 2020; 10:00-15:30.
Location: Onl	ine
platform. Con Eurochild's Fa	bave unfortunately reached the maximum capacity for hosting attendees via our online sequentially, we kindly invite you to follow and watch the full Conference livestream on <u>acebook page</u> . If you wish to receive all follow up conference materials (including presentations) you to fill in this form.
updated on th Should you ha	ce programme with information about the speakers will be made available and continuously e <u>project website.</u> we any questions, please contact Ciaran O'Donnell - Eurochild Policy and Project Assistant ell@eurochild.org).
Name *	
First	Last
Organisation	j ¥
Organisation	i's country *
Position/title	2 20 1
Email Addre	55 *
Consent th	e use of personal data provided in this form
confidentialit online meetin organisation a	pects the privacy of the people who register to our physical or online events and ensures the y of the personal data participants provide to Eurochild. Please note that by registering to thi g, you agree that your personal data will be collected and further processed for the efficient and management of the event (including preparation of lists for contacts, participant lists, are event information and meeting follow-up).
	ote that Eurochild physical and online events may be audio or video recorded, photographed, Id archived and that by registering, participants grant Eurochild permission for use of images the event.
	ed more information about the use of your personal data, we invite you to carefully read the nt <u>Registration Privacy Statement.</u>
*	
	ave read the above privacy statement and I the use of the provided personal data.



2. CarePath Conference Participants List

CarePath online Conference 13 October 2020, Brussels (online)

Name	Last name	Organisation	Country
APERSONA	L DATA OMISSIS		/
			Albania
R			Albania
10			Albania
B			Albania
			Albania
<u> </u>			Armenia
Ju R Ju B Li Li			Austria
			Austria
<u> </u>			Austria
R			
_R _N			Austria
Ц			Austria
			Austria
_ <u>E</u> _R			Austria
<u>_R</u>			Austria
G			
FI			Austria
			^s Austria
			Austria
A Fi R			Austria
<u>_R</u>			Azerbaijan
Je			
А			Azerbaijan

List of participants (Zoom meeting)



PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Belarus
	Belgium
 Ц	Belgium
	Belgium
N	Belgium
	Belgium
	Belgium
	Belgium
	Belgium
_ <u></u>	Belgium
-트	Belgium
<u> </u>	
<u>c</u>	Belgium
ĸ	Belgium
	Belgium
	Belgium
	Bulgaria
<u> </u>	Bulgaria
<u>-</u> Z	Bulgaria
트	Bulgaria
<u> </u>	
_ <u>k</u>	Bulgaria



PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS Bulgaria Ni Bulgaria El Bulgaria Ar Bulgaria Yu Bulgaria Yu Bulgaria Yu Chile Sc Chile Je Costa Rica M Croatia Va Croatia Kr Croatia Li Czech Republic Ha Czech republic
El Bulgaria Ar Bulgaria Bulgaria Bulgaria Ra Bulgaria Sc Chile Sc Chile Je Costa Rica M Croatia Va Croatia Li Croatia M Croatia Li Croatia M Croatia Croatia Croatia Li Croatia M Croatia Croatia Croatia
Ar Bulgaria Yu Bulgaria Yu Bulgaria Ra Chile Sc Costa Rica Je Costa Rica Je Croatia M Croatia Va Croatia Kr Croatia Li Croatia M Czech Republic M Czech Republic
Yi Bulgaria Ri Bulgaria Ri Chile Sc Chile Je Costa Rica Je Croatia M Croatia Va Croatia Kr Croatia Lj Croatia M Croatia Croatia Croatia Kr Croatia Lj Croatia Hi Czech Republic
Yi Bulgaria Ra Chile Sc Chile Je Costa Rica Je Croatia Va Croatia Kr Croatia Lj Croatia M Croatia Croatia Croatia Lj Croatia M Czech Republic Kr Czech Republic
Ra Bulgaria Sc Chile Sc Costa Rica Je Croatia M Croatia Va Croatia Kr Croatia Li Croatia M Croatia Croatia Croatia Kr Croatia Li Croatia M Croatia Li Croatia M Croatia Croatia Croatia Kr Croatia Croatia Croatia Kr Croatia Croatia Croatia
Je Costa Rica Je Croatia M Croatia Va Croatia Kr Croatia Lj Croatia M Czech Republic Ha Czech republic
Je Costa Rica Je Croatia M Croatia Va Croatia Kr Croatia Lj Croatia M Croatia Ha Czech Republic Czech republic Czech republic
Je Costa Rica Je Croatia M Croatia Va Croatia Kr Croatia Lj Croatia M Czech Republic Ha Czech republic
M Croatia Va Croatia Kr Croatia Lj Croatia M Czech Republic Ha Czech republic
M Croatia Va Croatia Kr Croatia Lj Croatia M Czech Republic Ha Czech republic
Ha Czech Republic Czech republic
Ha Czech Republic Czech republic
Ha Czech Republic Czech republic
Ha Czech Republic Czech republic
Ha Czech Republic Czech republic
Hi Czech republic
Czech republic
Ni
Ge
Egypt
M Ni Ge Ya M Ya M Egypt Egypt Egypt Egypt Egypt Egypt
Egypt
Yc
Equat
_Ar



PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Estonia
	Finland
<u> </u>	Finland
<u></u>	France
_ <u>s</u> _s V	
<u></u>	France
M	France
Ρ	France
F	France
면 트 C N	France
	Georgia
<u>_N</u>	Georgia
<u>_N</u>	Georgia
<u>工</u>	
ĸ	Germany
R	Germany
A	Ghana
	Greece
A	
	Greece
<u>_K</u>	Crooco
<u></u>	Greece
M	Greece
A	Greece
P	Greece
	Greece
_A	<u> </u>



PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Greece
	Greece
A	Greece
	Greece
	Greece
<u>P</u>	Greece
Ic	
<u> </u>	Hungary
	Hungary
_A _G	Hungary
	Hungary
Á	
N	Hungary
D	Hungary
E	Hungary
_Á _N _D _E 	Hungary
N	Hungary
	Hungary
G	Hungary
_ R _ K _ E _ E	Hungary
	Hungary
	Hungary
	Hungary
	Hungary
<u>M</u>	Hungary
Aliz wayyvaraa Anonymus ways i oanaadon	



PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Hungary
Ac	Hungary
	India
	India
	India
Bit Ad Le Ad Va Sa Ki Sa Va Sa Ki Sa Ki Sa Ki Sa Ki Sa Ki Sa Ki Sa Sa <td>India</td>	India
Sa	India
<u>Ki</u>	India
<u>Sř</u>	India
<u></u>	India
<u></u>	India
<u>Ar</u>	India
<u></u>	Ireland
<u>_St</u>	
<u></u>	Ireland
<u>Cł</u>	Ireland
G	Ireland
<u>Pł</u>	Ireland
Sa	Ireland
<u>JC</u>	Ireland
M	Ireland
GI PI Sã JO M Sã Ni	Ireland
Sa	Ireland
Ni	Ireland



SPERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Ireland
	Ireland
<u></u>	Ireland
<u> </u>	Ireland
<u>_</u>	Ireland
<u></u>	Ireland
<u> </u>	
c	Ireland
	Ireland
Μ	Ireland
	Ireland
<u>v</u>	Ireland
A	Ireland
	Ireland
_A _G _C	/ Ireland
<u> </u>	Ireland
<u> </u>	Ireland
<u> </u>	Ireland
از	
E	Ireland
s	Ireland
В	Ireland
트 _ S _ B _ 트	Ireland
	Ireland
_ <u>A</u>	Italy
<u> </u>	<u> </u>



	Italy
FIPERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
<u></u>	Italy
V	Italy
Fi	Italy
т	Italy
E Ld S A V F T G K G F I H I <t< td=""><td>Italy</td></t<>	Italy
	Italy
<u></u>	Italy
<u></u>	Italy
<u> </u>	
<u></u>	Italy
c	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
 	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
G	
В	Italy
S	Italy



An PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
	Italy
Fe	Italy
<u>Va</u> <u>Lir</u>	Italy
	Italy
<u>Ma</u>	Italy
_Ma _Ch _Ale	
Ale	Italy
Ma	Italy
Ma	Italy
	Italy
_Va _Ma _Ed _Giu _Ta	Italy
	Italy
<u>_Ed</u>	Italy
<u>_Gi</u>	Italy
<u></u>	Italy
Sil	Italy
Gia	
Git	Italy
Sil	Italy
Gil Sil Sa Ur Cla	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
Ra	



Comunità comprensoriale	Italy
A PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	
Ir	Italy
	Italy
<u>–</u>	Italy
<u>_Ir</u>	Italy
Si	
G	Italy
	Italy
토 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
_Si	
R	Italy
 M	Italy
	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
_11	Italy
<u></u>	
м	Italy
A	Italy
_ <u>A</u>	Italy
_A _FI _D	Italy
<u>_FI</u>	
D	Italy
Μ	Italy
	Italy
G	



8	
M PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
Git	Italy
Ire	Italy
<u>Ma</u>	
Lu	Italy
Ra	Italy
Fe	Italy
Sil	Italy
Ma	Italy
Ai Pa Lo Gi Ire Ma Lu Ra Fe Sil Ma Ale Ed	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
<u>Fra</u>	Italy
<u>En</u>	Italy
<u>Rit</u>	Italy
<u>Se</u>	
Rit Se Ar	Italy
	Italy
<u>So</u> <u>Va</u>	Italy
<u>Va</u>	
L	



	Italy
A PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	
E	Italy
Si	Italy
	Italy
 	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
 E Si M Vi B M Ci A 	Italy
<u></u>	Italy
<u>_A</u>	Italy
G	
 M	Italy
7.	Italy
 	Italy
C	Italy
	Italy
G	Italy
<u></u>	Italy
<u></u>	Italy
<u>_Si</u>	
<u>N</u>	Italy
	Italy
D	Italy



MEPERSONAL DATA OMISSIS Fr Ele Italy Ital		Italy
Fr Italy Ek Italy Se Italy Ali Italy Rc Italy Ma Italy Bi Italy Cr Italy Gi Italy Sil Italy Ma Italy Fr Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy Rc Italy Rc Italy Ar Italy	MERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
Eta Italy Se Italy Ai Italy Rd Italy Rd Italy Ma Italy Bia Italy Td Italy Gi Italy Italy Italy	Fr	Italy
Se Italy Al Italy Rd Italy Ma Italy Bi Italy Td Italy Gi Italy Si Italy Fi Italy Italy Italy Fi Italy Italy Italy Gi Italy Fi Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy		Italy
Se Italy Rd Italy Rd Italy Mi Italy Bi Italy Bi Italy Gi Italy		Italy
AllItalyRcItalyMaItalyBiaItalyTcItalyCfItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalyGiItalySiItalyTcItalyItalyItalyItalyItalyItalyItalyTcItalyRcItalyArItaly	Se	
R Italy Mi Italy Bia Italy Td Italy Cd Italy Gi Italy Ei Italy Si Italy Mi Italy Ei Italy Si Italy Mi Italy R Italy Ar Italy	Ali	Italy
Italy Bit Italy Bit Italy Tc Italy Tc Italy Cr Italy Gi Italy Fi Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy Fi Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy		Italy
$\begin{array}{c} \underline{M}_{i} \\ \underline{M}_{i} \\ \underline{B}_{i} \\ \underline{M}_{i} \\ \underline{T}_{i} \\ \underline{T}_{i} \\ \underline{T}_{i} \\ \underline{C}_{i} \\ \underline{G}_{i} \\ \underline{EI} \\ \underline{S}_{i} \\ \underline{M}_{i} \\ \underline{EI} \\ \underline{S}_{i} \\ \underline{M}_{i} \\ \underline{F} \\ \underline{M}_{i} \\ \underline{Er} \\ \underline{Italy} \\ Ital$		Italy
Bit Italy Tc Italy Ct Italy Gi Italy Si Italy Ma Italy Er Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy Italy Ar Italy	Ma	
Taly Taly Italy	Bia	Italy
Gi Italy Eli Italy Sil Italy Ma Italy Er Italy Italy Italy		Italy
Gi Italy Eli Italy Sil Italy Ma Italy Er Italy Italy Italy		Italy
Gi Italy Eli Italy Sil Italy Ma Italy Er Italy Italy Italy	<u>_Cr</u>	
Gi Italy Eli Italy Sil Italy Ma Italy Er Italy Italy Italy	Gi	Italy
Eli Italy Sil Italy Ma Italy Er Italy Ila Italy Cla Italy Te Italy Rc Italy Ar Italy		Italy
Ei Italy Si Italy Ma Italy Er Italy Ila Italy Italy Italy </td <td>Gi</td> <td>Italy</td>	Gi	Italy
Mi Italy Er Italy Ila Italy Ila Italy Cli Italy Te Italy Rc Italy Ar Italy	Eli	
Mi Italy Er Italy Ila Italy Ila Italy Cli Italy Te Italy Rc Italy Ar Italy	Sil	Italy
Er Italy Ila Italy Ila Italy Cla Italy Te Italy Ar Italy Italy Italy		Italy
Er Italy Ila Italy Cla Italy Te Italy Ar Italy Italy Italy	Ma	Italy
Ila Italy Cli Italy Te Italy Rc Italy Ar Italy	Er	
Cla Italy Te Italy Rc Italy Ar Italy	Tla	Italy
Te Italy Rc Italy Ar Italy		Italy
Te Italy Ar Italy Italy Italy	Cli	Italy
Rc Italy Ar Italy Italy Italy	Τε	
Ar Italy Italy		Italy
Ar		Italy
	Ar	
	Ch	Italy



E PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
<u>_C</u>	Italy
_A	Italy
I I <td< td=""><td>Italy</td></td<>	Italy
<u>_C</u>	Italy
<u></u> 트	Italy
_ <u>D</u>	Italy
_ <u>M</u>	Italy
<u>Fi</u>	
<u>_</u> E	Italy
<u></u>	Italy
D	Italy
	Italy
S	Italy
S	Italy
に	Italy
c	Italy
R	Italy
	Italy
	Italy



PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
<u>부</u>	p Italy
Α	
	Italy
_ <u>A</u>	o Italy
)
n	Italy
<u>_</u>	Italy
S	Italy
N	þ
<u> </u>	Italy
	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
E	þ
	Italy
	Italy
_ <u>A</u>) Italy
M	
	Italy
	Italy
_ _)
c	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
	Italy
<u> </u>	
G	Italy
	Italy
_ <u>A</u>	o Italy



AIPERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
	Italy
La El Ga El El Ar Fr Ma Da Al Ro	Italy
<u></u> .	Italy
Ga	Italy
<u>Eli</u>	Italy
	Italy
<u>Fr</u>	
_Mi	Italy
Mi	Italy
Da	Italy
Al	Italy
R¢	Italy
	Italy
_Mi _Sil	Italy
	Italy
<u>Mi</u>	Italy
_Al _Pa	Italy
_ <u>Pa</u>	Italy
_ <u>M</u>	Italy
Mi	Italy
_La _Al _Cł	Italy
Al	
_ Cł	Italy


	Italy
CPERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
G	
S 4	Italy
	Italy
Bi	ItalY
G Si G G C E V F6 V D L A	
G	Italy
	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
<u></u>	
Vi	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
Vi	
D	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
A	
A	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
<u>Pi</u>	
	Italy
	Italy
<u>N</u>	Italy
<u></u>	
C	Italy



2 	Italy
CPERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
E	Italy
토 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Kenya
H	Kenya
R	Kenya
A	Kenya
E	Kenya
]c	Kenya
M	Kosovo
ĸ	Latvia
Vi	Lithuania
 M	Malta
R	Malta
-N Fr	Malta
	Malta
<u></u>	Norway
<u> </u>	Norway
<u>Je</u>	Poland
Jc	Poland
<u>Jc</u>	Portugal
R Ed Je Jd Jd Jd	Portugal
٥٢_]	Portugal
<u>N</u>	Portugal



Portugal Por	3 <u></u>	
M Portugal Be Portugal Ve Portugal Jd Portugal M Portugal Pa Portugal Pa Portugal Se Portugal D Portugal M Portugal Be Portugal H Nortugal	JdPERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Portugal
Be Portugal Ve Portugal Jc Portugal M Portugal Pa Portugal Te Portugal Al Portugal Se Portugal D Portugal M Portugal Bi Republic of Korea		Portugal
Al Portugal Se Portugal D Portugal M Portugal Bl Portugal H Republic of Korea		Portugal
Al Portugal Se Portugal D Portugal M Portugal Bl Portugal H Republic of Korea		Portugal
Al Portugal Se Portugal D Portugal M Portugal Bl Portugal H Republic of Korea		Portugal
Al Portugal Se Portugal D Portugal M Portugal Bl Portugal H Republic of Korea	<u> </u>	Portugal
Al Portugal Se Portugal D Portugal M Portugal Bl Portugal H Republic of Korea		Portugal
Al Portugal Se Portugal D Portugal M Portugal Bl Portugal H Republic of Korea		Portugal
Republic of Korea		Portugal
Republic of Korea		Portugal
Republic of Korea	<u>Se</u>	Portugal
Republic of Korea		Portugal
Republic of Korea	<u></u>	Portugal
<u>H</u>	BI	
<u>H</u>		Republic of Korea
D Republic of Moldova Ti Republic of Moldova D Romania D Romania Er Romania FI Romania M Romania	<u> H</u>	
Ta Republic or Moldova Ta Romania D Romania Ca Romania Er Romania Fl Romania M Romania	D	
D Romania Ca Romania Ca Romania Er Romania Fl Romania M Romania	Ti	
Ca Romania Er Romania Fl Romania M Romania	D	
Er Fl M Romania Romania	Ci	
Fl Romania M Romania	E	
M Romania	<u></u>	
	M	
Re Romania	R	Romania



	Romania
CaPERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	
Da	Romania
Ra	Romania
	Romania
Da Ra Ar Sla Ac Ma	Serbia
	Slovenia
Ac	Slovenia
<u></u>	Slovenia
	Slovenia
	Sweden
Le Jo Va Ar	Sweden
	Sweden
Ar	Switzerland
Ia	
	Switzerland
_Ig _Gr _Rc	Switzerland
	Switzerland
	The Netherlands
<u>Fr</u>	The Netherlands
RC	The Netherlands
<u></u>	Uganda
_ Ct 「Fr Rc Mi 」 ほ 」 見 」 月 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日	Ukraine
Ig	
_Ja	United Kingdom
Ha	United Kingdom



PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS United Kingdom Be United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom File United Kingdom Fe United Kingdom Ca United Kingdom Ka United Kingdom Be United Kingdom Rc United Kingdom Data United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Tu United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Idata United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom La United Kingdom Ia United Kingdom <th></th> <th></th>		
Be United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Fe United Kingdom Ca United Kingdom Ka United Kingdom Be United Kingdom Rc United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Tu United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom La United Kingdom Mi United Kingdom Ma United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom		United Kingdom
Rc United Kingdom De United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Tu United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Mi United Kingdon Fk United Kingdon		United Kingdom
Rc United Kingdom De United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Tu United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Mi United Kingdon Fk United Kingdon		United Kingdom
Rc United Kingdom De United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Tu United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Mi United Kingdon Fk United Kingdon		United Kingdom
Rc United Kingdom De United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Tu United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Mi United Kingdon Fk United Kingdon	Fld	United Kingdom
Rc United Kingdom De United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Tu United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Inited Kingdom United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Ia United Kingdom Mi United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom	_Fe	United Kingdom
Rc United Kingdom De United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Tu United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Mi United Kingdon Fk United Kingdon	Ca	
Rc United Kingdom De United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Tu United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Mi United Kingdon Fk United Kingdon	<u>Ka</u>	
Rd United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Ja United Kingdom Tu United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Eld United Kingdom Ga United Kingdom Fld United Kingdom Ma United Kingdom Fld United Kingdom	_Be	
La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ekd United Kingdom Ca United Kingdom Iai United Kingdom Mi United Kingdom Ma United Kingdon Fid United Kingdom	Rc	
La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ekd United Kingdom Ca United Kingdom Iai United Kingdom Mi United Kingdom Ma United Kingdon Fid United Kingdom	De	United Kingdom
La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ekd United Kingdom Ca United Kingdom Iai United Kingdom Mi United Kingdom Ma United Kingdon Fid Zimbabwe	Jai	United Kingdom
La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ekd United Kingdom Ca United Kingdom Iai United Kingdom Mi United Kingdom Ma United Kingdon Fid Zimbabwe	Ти	United Kingdom
La United Kingdom Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ekd United Kingdom Ca United Kingdom Iai United Kingdom Mi United Kingdom Ma United Kingdon Fid United Kingdom		United Kingdom
Kh United Kingdom Lo United Kingdom Ek United Kingdom Ca United Kingdom Iai United Kingdom Mi United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Fk United Kingdom Zimbabwe Zimbabwe		United Kingdom
Lo Eld Ca Tai Mi Mi Fld Fld Lo Lo Lo United Kingdom United Kingdom		United Kingdom
Lo Eld Ca Tai Mi Mi Fld Fld Lo Lo Lo United Kingdom United Kingdom	Kh	
Mi Ma Fld Zimbabwe		United Kingdom
Mi Ma Flo Zimbabwe	Eld	United Kingdom
Mi Ma Flo Zimbabwe		United Kingdom
Mi Ma Flo Zimbabwe		United Kingdom
Zimbabwe		United Kingdom
Zimbabwe	<u></u>	United Kingdon
Zimbabwe	Ma	United States
	FIC	
	Git	



·	
PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS Ci	Belgium
	Belgium
<u></u>	Belgium
<u>A(</u>	Belgium
Je	
<u>Je</u> <u>Zu</u>	Belgium
Pr	Belgium
	Belgium
Va	Belgium
<u>Er</u>	Belgium
ја	5
	Countra
	Country Albania
_N _Fl _Fr	
Fr	Austria
<u></u>	Austria
_Aı	Belgium
At	Belgium
Pa	Belgium
С	Belgium
 M	Belgium
G	Belgium
Li	Bielorussia
트 AI	France
-1	



3 <u></u>	
CPERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Greece
	Greece
Si	Hungary
	Hungary
	Ireland
	Ireland
 M	Ireland
R R	Ireland
B	Ireland
B	Ireland
	Ireland
	Ireland
 Fi	Ireland
Yi Si 이 트 니 비 지 R R B C Si Fi 트 N Fi Si	Ireland
	Ireland
 F4	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
G	Italy
 D	Italy
Vi	Italy
Ir	Italy
II	Italy
A	Italy
С	Italy
A G D V I I I A C E	Italy



PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
M	Italy
	Italy
M	Italy
Et	Italy
	Italy
 Fe	Italy
M	Italy
A	Italy
FI	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
Pi	Italy
	Italy
B	Italy
	Italy
A M C M F F F F F F F F F F F F F	Italy
브	Italy
브	Italy
	Italy
<u></u>	



PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
	Italy
 C	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
_⊑ ∧	Italy
	Italy
2	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
	Italy
역 적 이 ² 배정 역 기 인	Italy
R	Italy
_ <u>A</u>	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
<u> </u>)



F PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
드 토	Italy
 M	Italy
	Italy
▲ ▲ ▲ ▲ ■ □ □ □	Italy
	Italy
<u>A</u>	Italy
<u>N</u>	Italy
	Italy
_ _ _	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
_ _ _	Italy
_E	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
<u> </u>	Italy
<u>N</u>	Italy
<u></u>	Italy
	Italy
므	Italy
	Italy
트 -	Italy
Leuzia centino oniversita degli studi di ronno	



PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	Italy
	Malta
	Namibia
트 - 나 - 다 - 다 R	North Macedonia
 I	Palestine
R	Romania
	Romania
	,
N R P R 니 지 기 가	Senegal
	Spain
 B	The Netherlands
	United Kingdom
R	United Kingdom
	United Kingdom
	United Kingdom
	United Kingdom
<u>Ji</u> G	United Kingdom



Sample social media messages



CarePath Project @CarepathProject ...

#EUBudget an EU #ChildGuarantee #ESFPlus

#nextgenerationeu - these instruments must be
focused on enhancing the protection of all vulnerable
#children & #youngpeople inc. those who age out of
the #care system @APodsiadlowski @EURightsAgency
#CarePathConference



4 Retweets 7 Likes ♥ ♣

Conference morning session livestream & recording

(https://www.facebook.com/watch/live/?v=392696641896998&ref=watch_permalink)



Conference afternoon session livestream & recording (https://www.facebook.com/eurochild/videos/630280324322975)



FURACTIV PRESS RELEASES Climate & EU Treaty & Q Search ORGANISATIONS Environment Institutions PUBLISH PR Innovation & Euro & Finance Enterprise Infosociety Publish a Press Release CONTACT Health & Lifestyle Social Europe & Jobs **Energy Efficiency** Transport Login Aftercare for Care Leavers: Learning lessons from across Europe Eurochdd Agenda Eurochild 10 Mar 2021 Gender-Responsive Budgeting and the 😬 Printer-friendly version COVID-19 Economic Recovery: A Toolkit for the Public Sector Finance Profession 25 Sep 2020 Social Europe & Jobs -Date Sections 10 Mar 2021 More information here Links Online conference on how the EU can improve services for care leavers across Jobs Europe **European Endowment for Democracy** . The transition to adulthood and independent living is a challenging time for many young **Financial Administrative Assistant** people. Thankfully, most have their families to fall back on when life doesn't go exactly to plan. European Endowment for Democracy Young people who have grown up in public care usually don't have that luxury. And too often Programme Assistant coordinator south * state support is removed, or cut back when a young person turns 18. The consequences can be devastating not only for the young people involved but for society as a whole.

Sample of CarePath dissemination - Euractive News

Conference Press Release, Sep 24 2020, on CarePath Project Website (<u>https://carepath-project.eu/site/en/news/view.html?id=12</u>)



Conference Key Takeway Messages, Nov 11 2020, on CarePath Project Website (<u>https://carepath-project.eu/site/en/news/view.html?id=13</u>)

٦

Engovering public authorities and professionals towards fourne informed toeing care support	Attachments
Welcome to the CarePath Conference!	Creating a CarePath Community around Europe (1.46N
Follow the conversation on:	Trauma informed care approch: a
🗾 f in	legal perspective (172.18)
#CarePathConference #aftercare #leavingcare #traumainformedcare	Care experienced advocates, SOS Children's Villages International
Coleman de longer unes	'Leaving Care' project (634.43)
Contraction for former of the second	
	Supporting young people from care
Project News III 12/11/2020	to adulthood: policy and practice in European countries (1.32N
The CarePath Conferences shines the light on the need for aftercare for care leavers across Europe On 13 October 2020, the CarePath Partnership held our online conference, Aftercare for Care Leavers: Learning lessons across Europe, to conclude our two years of cooperation and to raise the issue of aftercare for children and young people on the EU agenda.	CarePath Project: a Journey long 2 years and 6 months (1.51M Working With Refugee Care Leavers In Greece (1.81M
We were delighted to achieve both of these goals, as we welcomed 300+ participants from Europe and beyond to listen and engage with our 20+ speakers, whose inspiring interventions offered perspectives across policy, research, practice and, most importantly, lived-experiences of leaving care. We welcomed experts both at national level and those working in the heart of the European	Categories
Union to improve the lives of children young people in care.	
Harrison and the second s	Project News (12
Union to improve the lives of children young people in care. Our keynote speaker, Professor Mike Stein, surmised well the key message for decision makers at	Project News (1 Events (

Aftercare for Care Leavers: Learning lessons from across Europe

Conference Questions & Answers – written responses

- 1. Can we talk about the care leavers situation in Romania? Housing, Health services, ID situation, the pandemic and many more?
- Prof Mike Stein: I have been working closely with a Romanian academic who is an expert on social policy. If you email me (<u>mike.stein@york.ac.uk</u>) I will introduce you.
- **MEP Dragos Pislaru:** We (Renew Europe and USR PLUS in particular in Romania) propose a new social protection system, based on integrated counter-poverty policies, with the following priorities:
 - 1. Interventions aimed at the poorest Romanians, at those who risk being left out of the social system.
 - 2. Activating people in vulnerable situations by facilitating their integration into work and social life, focusing the intervention on their fulfilment potential, not only on the need for survival.
 - 3. Ensuring a **decent standard of living for all children** in Romania and breaking the vicious circle that perpetuates poverty from one generation to another;
 - 4. **Radical change** in the approach followed by **public education and social protection systems**, with the prioritization of **prevention** instead of correction and emergency intervention only.

MEP Dragos Pislaru: To ensure that these priorities are implemented, we need to focus on specific and practical measures:

- Continue the plan to close large residential centres, with a focus on streamlining the process by developing more child-friendly alternatives in the system, such as community support services, providing the necessary number of professional foster carers and investing in the construction of nursing homes;
- In the adoption cases, there is a need to introduce a **centralized**, **transparent and efficient system for monitoring the performance of duties by case managers**. Although the law provides for certain deadlines, in practice, their observance is not strictly followed, which means that many children are deprived of the presence of a permanent family in their lives for long periods of time, making them difficult to adopt. Sometimes, the children remain non-adopted until the age of majority, without justified reasons;
- In order to allow investments specifically targeted at children's education and health in the pre-adoption period, **the introduction of specific financial support measures for foster carers and foster families** (e.g. allowances, vouchers) to prevent the accumulation of development/educational gaps and the chronicity of certain health problems of the children in their care;
- Initiating training programs for foster carers to ensure competent care of children in the special protection system;

Revision of the contracting and partnership system, as well as of the cost standards for private operators providing social services for children in the special protection system.

Of course, the topic is very broad - how do we make new options available in the pandemic-world?

MEP Dragos Pislaru and his team would be keen to continue this with anyone, and they encourage interested participants to write to us at: <u>dragos.pislaru@ro.plus</u>; <u>dragos.pislaru@europarl.europa.eu</u>

2. Do you think lack of sufficient support for kinship carers indicates public authorities abdicating their responsibilities? The children have the same needs?

Prof Mike Stein: The evidence from the UK is that local authorities (LA's) vary in both the recruitment and the support they give to kinship carers. A very informative and important evidenced based report on kinship care was published in 2019: see: Special Guardianship: international research on kinship care, Nuffield Foundation (visit: www.nuffieldfjo.org.uk)

3. For Prof Stein: is there any documentation which one go through in relation to the process for children living in small based community homes to keep residing there as future tenants?

Prof Mike Stein: Since I completed the survey informing my presentation, I found out that there is a very innovative housing project in England which adopts similar principles and has also pioneered care leavers participation in the process. It is called the House Project and has an excellent website containing detailed documentation (see: thehouseproject.org).

4. What do the panellists suggest can be done to increase the power of care experienced children and young people themselves which is more than just consultation?

Prof Mike Stein: There has to be (1) a strong legal framework rooted within the UNCRC (2) clear, transparent policies spelling out how young people are involved both individually in decisions which shape their lives, and collectively in making policy – drawing on a typology which identifies the levels of participation (3) having a regular review of the policy and practice framework and how it is responding to the needs of different groups of care leavers – involving independent care experienced young people's rights groups (4) mentoring: to empower young people and (5) training for staff and elected representatives responsible and accountable for services. In short, to go beyond consultation requires a cultural and structural shift. Some of these points – but not all – were included in the New Belongings project which was introduced to ensure local authorities involved care leavers in decision making and service planning (visit: coramvoice.org.uk)

5. What are your thoughts on the role the EU can play to drive change here?

Prof Mike Stein: I think the EU should have an active role in 'levelling-up' leaving care services by collecting and disseminating 'best policy and practice' examples, working in partnership with

Eurochild and other organisation representing and progressing the rights of children and young people

6. What support is available for children and adolescents leaving juvenile justice institutions? This is our biggest challenge?

Prof Mike Stein: In England there was the 'Laming Review', 'In Care, Out of Trouble' (2016) which explored this important issue. The summary report which contains detailed recommendations is available free download from the Prison Reform Trust (visit: www.prisonreformtrust.org.uk)

7. Hi Professor Stein, nice to hear your presentation and your continuous engagement for young people leaving care. I was wondering whether you could give examples of good practices in terms of housing?

Prof Mike Stein: In England, the DFE is currently funding a number of evaluations of innovative projects for care leavers – these should be completed and on their website during 2021. One project, operating in different locations, that is being evaluated is the House project - and this has an excellent website containing detailed documentation (see: thehouseproject.org). Also, in 2011, I completed a review of 'good practice' issues and these are outlined in chapters 4-6 of my 2012 book: Young People Leaving Care, Supporting Pathways to Adulthood, JKP.

8. Do you think enough is being done at present, with young people in care, to prepare them for the transition of leaving the care system?

Prof Mike Stein: The evidence suggests that in the UK some young people are very well prepared and supported by leaving care services – in a holistic way (practical skills, social development and emotional support) but others less so. It is critical that young people wherever they are living, or whatever their needs, are well prepared by having effective central government policies to levelup services.

9. I believe what we need as a care leavers in order to freely be a part of this continuous development besides all the aftercare support you can think, I do think sometimes we find ourselves with overloaded caregiver or supporter who even if they want can't give as much assistance as they would. What do you think need to be done to enlighten the amount of work they have to face in order to really provide a quality aftercare service?

Prof Mike Stein: Providing a 'quality aftercare service' means involving care experienced young people in the development of leaving care services. In England, the New Belongings project was introduced to ensure local authorities involved care leavers in decision making and service planning (visit: coramvoice.org.uk)

Key takeaway messages from CarePath Conference Oct 13 2020

Jana Hainsworth, Eurochild:

Welcome to online conference – Aftercare support is available to your people leaving care. EU Rights Citizenship Programme. Project lead will be giving some introduction. Scene setting what is the situation of children leaving care, practice, role of the EU. Michael Stein – professor – Astrid FRA – short break. After short-breat Albertto Zucchoni.

After lunch – deep dive into subject matter. Hearing from partners and care leavers themselves. Eurochild what our interest has been. Legacy in terms of practice. Network – aim to influence policies, build capacities. Our work in underpinned by UNCRC. Through Europe hundreds of thousand children live in institutions – that are incapable to provide adequate care for children in care. Where we get the numbers from? – difficult to get the numbers. Teamed up with UNICEF to map child protection around Europe. Speaking of young people transition to independent living – a source of huge anxiety and stress. Kind of support varies enormously. It is much EU can do in the field of aftercare, we hope to contribute to policies. This event was supposed in April in person. We want to amplify the needs and experiences of these young people, make them visible. Annual Sustainable Growth Strategy – mentions fairness – in RRF. European Child Guarantee to invest in children in quality. Youth Guarantee – very important initiatives.

Dr Luca Rolle, UNITO:

Excited, cold hands. It's beautiful. Thank you very much with us. EC has funded our project. CarePath – honoured to meet you all. Thank you Eurochild. My colleagues emphasised months ago when we started realizing this project – care leavers deserve more. Possible tool about trauma informed care and practice. Project delivered: the toolkit, MOOC, legislation approach, service delivery. We look at person holistically and include research and studies. Mooc - training of trainers – gives common language, indicated – case of adverse experience – good care, support by care givers. Adverse child experience – in this condition early intervention is recommended. Launch today Memorandum of Understanding – mainstreaming the results of CarePath project endorsed by local, national authorities – to write to us to sign this memorandum.

MEP Dragoş Pîslaru - Member of the European Parliament (PLUS, Renew Europe) and Vice Chair of the Intergroup on Children's Rights, Romania:

We hear you, we listen to you and we will represent you. Europe must deliver for children and youth and must deliver. Money spent on children always bring positive return. What is happening in the care system nowadays is not a sound investment. 315k- 415 k children in (institutional) care. What happens to the adults that these children will become?

Children in vulnerable position becomes youth in vulnerable position. We need to break the vicious circle and turning it into a virtuous cycle. EU has the resources but we have other tools as well – RRF, the ESF and other programmes should be seen in conjunction with resources we have elsewhere. You will find me at the forefront of this fight, we need to do this together, we will face very conservative thinking. With expert and evidence based ground we will overcome.

Dragos Pislaru – congratulation for your work. Effort – integrated effort – you are represented properly: experts – social workers you are the real heroes. There are 2 main points: Europe must deliver for children and youth and we are not there yet. I'm passionate for me it simply makes an economic sense. What we are investing – brings back good returns. You look at data – costs in the society – care sector if it is not properly funded.

We want make sure that every child has adequate access to food, housing, health-care and education. Both to respect the rights – invest for better future. What's happening is not social investment – more community and family-base approaches. 345.000 children at the end 2019 still lived in institutions. What happens to these children – what is philosophy of these centers – poor financing. What we need to do – break vicious circle – integrated approach to help families, help children. Integration in society at the core of society, scholarship. Not silo policy, we need to do better. I was a minister of Labor in 2016 in Romania – we had programme that would address these issues – piloted these ideas can provide legitimacy. EU clearly expressed will of citizens – solidarity is a way forward. Trans-border EU mandate to tackle them. It's been promised CG, RRF – designing – pillar for youth and children, resources we are not wasting. Avoid policy extremes – right balance.

Questions and Answers

Dragoş Pîslaru - A need to reimagine the way we work together in Europe. We should be part of a same wave, we have opportunities that are unprecedented. We are right now in a very good position to advocate for a legislation that is all encompassing and pushing the priorities of the EU on the right of children and investing resources. For a better future in Europe we need to invest in children today. We need to get MS on board with research, exchange of practices, etc. The other pieces is founding – support from civil society and care sector will be useful in the negotiation phase. It means that the RRP there should be social cohesion, institutional resilience and next generation \Box the Parliament they inserted consultation with civil society and practitioners.

KEY NOTE:

Professor Mike Stein Emeritus Professor in the Department of Social Policy and Social Work, University of York and joint founder and coordinator of the International Research Network on Transitions to Adulthood from Care (INTRAC) between 2003 and 2018

Example from research of what can make the difference for young people. Informal fostering arrangements – there wasn't a policy it happens because there was a relationship between young people and foster carers. Formal examples available too. Examples of continuity and transition in residential care are very important.

Kinship care – increasingly made use for. Gives young people strong family identity. They don't see they are in case at all and they can stay with their kindship until they are ready to leave. Depending of the country it receives different levels of care and support. It is important that young people are supported.

Positive trend from permissive legislation – countries are not required to do something but may to – to more introduction of legal duties to provide specialist leaving care services. Positive developments of reducing inequalities and tackles territorial injustice.

Examples of leaving care from young people to remain and complain. One of the problem is for those young people who suffer from mental health issues.

Involving young people in different stages of leaving care. How can we involve care leavers in policy development and research. Peer research by care leavers, involved in interviewing and carry research activities in partnership with researchers, they can be involved in many stages. It is not a cheap or convenient option. Linking research to accreditation so that they can enhance their own careers.

Strengths and resilience based approach – providing stability in young people life provides the emotional foundation of resilience. Educational success is also connected with resilience – care and schools working together to catch-up. Education is the biggest predictor.

Peer research is a way to re-framing adversity –it is an important form of reciprocity: they are not only identified as people in need, they are able to give. Every young person has their ordinary magic.

Examples of good practice of INTRAC – peer research, in 4 countries, involving care leavers

Patterns of transition – left care 18 year, between 15-21. Major challenger at younger age. Neurobiological development – brains developed until 25 years of age. Instability in care it can be seen as re-traumatisation.

To improve young people's life: foster care arrangement – was not only a policy, relationship worked out, good example – former carers – offer support – even for them who had difficulties during time they were in foster care. Early patterns – legal arrangement- financially supported – very important. Continuity and transition – attachment has significance. To 21 years they should have opportunity to stay in residential homes by getting flexible support by staff. Young people become tenants, examples of young people – reduces instability – more normative transition. Living in that accommodation – later become a tenant – maintaining continuity.

Kinship care – extended family- increasingly being case, Spain has the highest percentage and UK. Important type of formal care. Children/Young people they do not see themselves in care at all. Most of young people – they experience normative transition. Carers also receive variable financial and support. Grandparents – strong personal and financial support to these families. In

Western European care – foster care pattern has changed to kinship care. The main trend permissive legislation – countries are not required but they do it in law. Local authorities must provide special leaving services – again it is variable, compared to research 12 years ago it has increased. Very positive development – reducing inequalities – it's tackling territorial injustices, positive development that still need to be done.

Example – right to complain – In Germany – legal right until 21 years – they have right to have court, 23 in Norway, in Romania as long as they are in education and training up to 26. In Scotland to 21 years of age. Local authorities – there right directions. Different ways of involving care-leavers as active citizen. Embodied in legislation. Legal and policy framework – making plan, pathway planning process.

Peer research by care leavers – involve them in partnership with professional researchers – they can be involved in commenting and dissemination of research. It is not convenient option, care leavers need to be trained, linking to peer research to be accredited – they get something back from the process. Councils and meetings. Able to vetting the Councils policies. To provide more services to young people. We develop policy and practice within framework – provides an impact on policy and practice of organization. CarePath – trauma informed approaches. Strengthen resilience based approach – providing stability, emotional resilience. Examples to mitigate trauma - remain in foster care longer, support in residential care. Respond to trauma. Consistent finding – difficulty that states have to achieve normative transitions. Educational success – is a sign of resilience. New opportunities in young people lives – double advantage. Looking at different ways at bringing children back to school – positive outcomes. Involving of young people – a way of refraining of adversities. The response of state – many resources – practices and policies.

Positive development – holistic preparation, pathway services, accommodation, health-care and wellbeing, engaging care leavers with different needs. Disabilities, diversity in modern communities,

Mike Stein Emeritus - Leaving care later and extended support – up to 25; more effort into education; providing stability is very important are- very basic one but young people still move around the care system too much, more consistent leaving care support. The role of the EU, <u>critical role in leveling up</u> – what is done and sharing the best practices and policies, developing mechanisms.

RESPONSE TO KEY NOTE:

Astrid Podsiadlowski, Project Manager Rights of the Child, European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights

Gradual normative transition is very encouraging – it does show positive effect. Research from FRA – mapping legislation and policy from EU MS, studies on impact of Covid19, fieldwork research on experiences of young asylum seekers and unaccompanied.

Important of monitoring experiences of care leavers – existing data gap, we cannot give concrete feedback on those experiences. The DataCare project is incredibly important.

Legal framework: minimum age requirement – we need to ensure that children have the space for contributing. Children need to be involved in individual care decision not only when entering but also when leaving. Standards are not developed at the national level.

Young people making use of the right to complain, when the legislation is there young people need to be informed and have access.

Helping young people bounce back – maltreatment, abuse, overcome that trauma and help them to fulfil their ordinary magic.

Astrid Podsiadlowski – FRA – Gradual normative transition – positive effects on young people. Based on research FRA conducted – regarding placement decision, country impact studies, integration of young refuges who are confronted with leaving care. To effectively monitor the needs, we need figures – we have no these data. We welcome this project – DataCare. Legal framework – need – we have learned – mapping minimum age requirement. Children are not part of decision-making – make sure all children are consulted their worries, experience, concerns. Involved in entering the care and leaving care. Standards are not developed. Loose shape. Legal point. Point – possibility for young people remain in care longer and have access to services. Large gap – knowledge information about the rights of care leavers. Covid situation – losing social support. Unaccompanied minors – discontinuity of future integration. Easy targets for criminal groups, this risks can be encountered. Such legal arrangements need to be monitored closely. Having stronger framework, funding, involving young people, being aware of their rights.

Dragos – support end of month, in November – CG EP position, we will suggest in RRP there should be institutional resilience, social resilience. 3 pillars of resilience – MS will decide, inserted the consultation with people on board, opening these new pillars. ESF plus – regulation and perspective to change for children in Europe.

Mike Stein/ His recommendations: leaving care later and extended support up to 25. Attention should be paid to education and higher education. Leaving care support services – role of the EU – critical role in levelling up – looking what has been done – sharing the best practices and practices and legislation that should to level up.

ROUNDTABLE SESSION 1:

Professor Alberto Zucconi, President IACP:

How EU can better provide for care leavers. We need to promote change. Learning from their experience – better equipped – we can facilitate the whole area – apply trauma – informed care. Person-cantered approach – alliance between victim of trauma and all kind of professionals. Carers trauma, burnout, strategy underlined. Progress to empower every stakeholder.

Richard Pichler – External Representative SOS Children's Villages International:

EU Child Guarantee and CRS = EU a lighthouse for children's rights realization and for not leaving anyone behind. 70 years we have been doing it. The most important dimension – they are self-sufficient and are able to take care of their own children. Why do children go out of parental care? In 20 countries in Europe. Typical child – 8 – 14 years who has had multiple placements why this happens, emotional trauma of children it is hardly possible to give them strength they deserve. In Europe – majority – double socially orphan and often abuse, there is inadequate answer from society. What we need are social policies for parenting. Leaving care – we can hardly repair what has been done before. It has go together. Children have weaker starting point. Normal children growing with their families – at the age 18 - 35 leave their families. Care leavers are expected to leave at the age of 18. My daughter 23 finished her study – rented an apartment, she needed a guarantee – next morning she had a guarantee- otherwise would not get that apartment. It's not only material support but also emotional support. Relationship – right for child for emotional support. You can not prescribe it.

We should guarantee – a sustainable relationship must grow. I heard a care leaver Robin – who said I envy all young people who know where to go for Christmas. These children who have no emotional ties. 5 - 10 years spent in care. 50% have lived on social welfare. Aftercare mind-set – needs to be part from the time they enter alternative care. In every country – what about self-sustainability of care leavers – far too much of it. We have not offered good quality care to those children. Does covid – kicked back by our achievements – increased number children- move out of care. Cost – analyse – child in care setting for 12 years. 50 - 60 years of an adult life in welfare system. I was care leaver. I have young daughter turned 9 years old. Ability to raise own children is a prove a transition to independent living was done well.

Terry Dignan, EPIC Ireland:

6 issues impediments to the work -800 - 900 children we work with -70 - 80% are aftercare issues. Use of language – aftercare – we are no longer in care. Mike – 2 issues – complex transition to adulthood and permissive legislation from child – the best interest of child.

6 issues – preparation for leaving care – lack of equity and support given, and non-care leaving home. Cooperation – critical = proper preparation from age of 16 - a plan is made to each – an

individual plan. Social worker prepares leaving plan, does not involve any educational special needs specialists.

Education -21, 23 if child is in education. Each 26 years of age - allows longer transition in adulthood, develop some life skills, such higher proportion - lack of support - extend the care leaving age it allows better adaptation.

3. issue -1 year sabbatical - are supposed residential care at the age of 18. Little room to bread to prepare - release a pressure 23

Only children who spent 12 months in care – entitled for after care support – unfair goes against in the best interest of child/young people.

Designated accommodation – place they call home. 40% people homeless come from care background. Mental-health support up to 26.

Longitude of studies – we have no data – 10 years longitudal studies – to inform sufficiently – do not have data. More equitable.

Ian Thomas Martin James Foundation:

Speaking of own experience. I have been really impressed. I work for MJF in the UK. My care experience. That was not walking a park. After I left care I have been incarcerated. 27% of care leavers are incarcerated in the UK – it's big issue. I was in 20 different families. When I left system – I did not know which staff member I had to consult – for social benefit. Like a lot of young people back then – crime, drugs, really important – severely marginalized. Some elements – try to give them agency. I like the rights-based approach – it would help consistency in helping young people. Inconsistencies – contributes to confusions, I did not have anyone who would be consistently supporting me. At the age of 24 I returned from prison where I stayed 3 years. He found help– to address my addiction – in community that was a safe place for reflection. 'I do not feel very alone' now. People have faith in me. I was fortunate to get the opportunity – national role and my job. I had believed system – I'm good enough. Becomes your engine. Programme of personal development – inspired introspection, emotionally resilient – key to my sustainability. Fundamental needs – accommodation, education. Challenges: discrimination. Conclude – 21 lessons – achievable subjective role to objectivity. Each person in individual – rights-based approach should be the jargon.

Valeria Setti, European Commission - Coordinator on the Rights of the Child:

Interesting conference. Congr. Important to have European projects that look how to improve practices at national and European level. Transition to adulthood –

CG - beginning 2021. CRS full consultation process – beginning of December to submit your contribution. Look at situation of children in care and leaving care. How we can we build on the work we have done in other countries.

EC adopted 10 principles for integrated child protection systems in 2015 – the best interest of child. Importance of prevention, good quality care, monitoring and evaluation,

Role of EU in levelling up the policies and practices – how EU is strategically placed to help this sharing. It has to happen through structural dialogues. In context of national child protection system and children in migration. Migration- and social workers do not work together.

Bringing children's voices to the forefront – EU has potential to do more in engaging children in our work.

Organisations – authorities – care leavers, Terry – real participation of young people in decisionmaking processes. They have responsibility and credibility.

Ian – we had consultations – big organisations who work with children. Role of international agencies to empower young people to speak for themselves

Katerina Ivankovic Knezevic, European Commission - Director of DG EMPL

How to involve young people - young people see through too fast

CarePath project – of children leaving care, access to adequate support putting it high on the agenda. Children in institutions – considering for CG, preparation are ongoing, consultation with stakeholders. Consulting with children. **Council Recommendations for next year** – huge thank you to Eurochild – strong advocate – huge pressure – count on you in future as well. What CG is going to be about – children in needs their guaranteed access to services. Children living in poverty or at the threshold of poverty. Children living in single carers family are at risk.

Covid and attendance of education – children were provided free meals, schools were closed – less meals, every child has access to services nutrition, housing, education, health-care. Also children who will be leaving institutions. The aim of CG is to trigger policy action at MS level. Trialogues for EU funds – council was not favourable for 5% to be allocated to children. There is still space for negotiation. Targeted funding will be secured. There should be 5% plus national funding – trigger national response and local regional level response. Bus and transportation is administered by local levels. It is not sufficient to address child poverty by CG only – anti poverty network will be developed – minimum income, assessing the specific groups and their needs.

Fabienne, Austrian Care Leavers Association:

During pandemic no specific help to care-leavers in Austria. Students were supported. Semester was counted. Nothing that was made for care leavers. Many obstacles – worsened in time of corona virus. 10,000 of debts – delays. Mental-health problems have worsened, Housing – returned to their parents. Legislation – psychological support to care leavers – have mental problems. Psychological support – it is not accessible otherwise. Create a network – big family of care leavers. 4000 care leavers every 4 years in AT.

Andreeas Novacovici and Napoleon - Romanian Council of Institutionalised Youth:

Leaving process – several steps – psychological counselling, during pandemic / 75% of salaries was paid by Romanian government to employed. No specific support for care leavers, for tuition. The government is our mother father – we should be part of social protection of the coronavirus response package. Little help – housing, vocational counselling,

More children / evolution/ 3000 youngsters who are leaving care / 10,000 who are entering the care system every year. Preparation for independent living is weak.

75% of all prostitution is coming from Romania to reduce that or stop it. We are care leavers but we are not given support.

Conference evaluation

Anything you would like to tell us about?						Thank you very much for very useful content and perfect organisation of the	conference!	ink you very mudi.			Thanks The added value of what the use of whome brought to the confreence and	the time spent on learning this new tool and managing it should be issessed for future events.		Kep up the good work!		Looking forward to an in person conference where I can share my experiences and applications of what I learned from the conference		
Overall, how Any satisfied are you with the event?		d (Sariefied)	S (Very satisfied)	G (Verv catifiant)	Please choose associate the search of the se		5 (Very satisfied) con		5 (Very satisfied)	4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied) Tha	and 5 Merry catiofied) futu		5 (Very satisfied) Kee	4 (Satisfied)	Loo con exp exp fear	5 (Very satisfied)	C Manu suiteftad)
How satisfied were How satisfied were you you with the with the background on line tools used? documents received in	advance of the meeting?	4 (Catioflord)	4 (Satisfied)	6 (Meno casheflard)	Please ch coose		5 (Very satisfied)	(iscortial)	5 (Very satisfied)	a (Satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	5 (Verv satisfied)			4 (Satisfied)	(Very satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	Manu catio Badh
were sed?	•	5 (Very calified)		d (Setterfined)	9		5 (Very satisfied)	(balisties (law) o		4 (Satisfied) 4	5 (Very satisfied) 5	4 (Selicited)		 I very statistical of the statistical	4 (Satisfied) 4	5 (Very satisfied) 5 (Very satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied) 5	E Manu on Seffrad V
Would you have any comments How satisfied on the content of the conference? you with the online tools u				I appreciated the combinations of international networts starting with EU reprs to acaciemics, practitioners and care leavers. I was inspired by the presentations.		The content of the conference was	very relevant and interesting	04			t p	lived-experience, research). Very powerful call to actions from policy makers.	hat we did not gt the e this Conference in a very interesting	esperance by the second second second second the people to the second that where escantismes where escants		It was very relevant to my work and since then I have used it as a good base for improving my knowledge in programming for juvenile justice programs	interesting topic and speakers	
	from the conference?	4 (Some of it)	S (Very much)	((View much)	Please choose		4 (Some of it)		4 (Some of it)	5 (Very much)	4 (Some of It)	S (Very much)		s (very much) s (very much)	S (Very much)		5 (Very much)	C [Manumuch]
2	information?	d fome of it)	5 (Very much) 5 (Very much)	6 Mary much) 6 Mary much			5 (Very much)			5 (Very much) 5 (Very much)	5 (Very much)	5 (Very much) 5 (Very much)		s (Veery satisfied) 5 (Veery much) 5 (Veery much) 5 (Veery much)	5 (Very much)	5 (Very much) 5 (Very much)	4 (Some of it) 5 (Very much)	[Mary much]
How satisfied were Did you gain you with the relevant quality of knowledge ar	discussions of the conference?	d (Caricfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	S. Manu calificati	A free from a second of the se		5 (Very satisfied)	(Datistipes Asian) c	4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	S (Verv caticfied)		(being stats from the	4 (Satisfied) 5 (Very much)	4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	5 (Verv satisfied) 5 (Verv much) 5 (Verv much)
How satisfied Would you have any comments on were you with the the organisation of the conference? structure of the					The Whova platform was too weak and unable to upport the number of participants. The web app did not bad properly (I tried several times during the day and on different device) and was unable to attend the conference. Please, choose a the conference.	Thank you very much for perfect	organisation of the conference!	congratulations! It was great	organized		toolenn			The Final Care Park conference was very were operated and in parkclain proposition of the park of the park of the Offone massizance to very support of the park park of the park of the chaining park of the park of the chaining park of the park of the chaining park of the conference, the work of the park of the conference, where the park of the park of the park of the park of the park of the park of the park of the park of the park of the work of the park of the park of the off the park of the p	•	It must have been a massive effort on coordination but generally well organised. The whou app was easy to use as well	organization and panctuality.	
How satisfied were you with the structure of the	conference?	4 (Catiofied)	5 (Very satisfied)	6 [Varv catiofiad]	Loncone (ray) c		5 (Very satisfied)	4 (panienci) 4	5 (Very satisfied)	4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied) Excellent	5 (Verv catiofied)		 (very satisfied) (Very satisfied) 	4 (Satisfied)	4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	5 (Marv catiofiad)
How satisfied were hyou with the quality v of management of s	the conference?	5 (Very catiofied)	5 (Very satisfied)	6 Manu catiofiad)	Press choose		5 (Very satisfied)	o (very satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	5 (Very caticfied)			4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	5 (Very satisfied)	5 Mary esticted)
Organisation Email Job tite		PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS																
Entry Id Name		PERS(



Empowering public authorities and professionals towards trauma-informed leaving care support

carepath

Welcome to the CarePath Conference!

Follow the conversation on:



#CarePathConference #leavingcare

#traumainformedcare



Co-funded by the European Union's Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme (2014-2020)





Ms Katarina Ivanković-Knežević Director for Social Affairs European Commission Rue Joseph II, Brussels

Brussels, 23 October 2020

Letter of thanks for participating in our conference 'Aftercare for Care Leavers: Exchanging lessons from across Europe' on 13 October 2020

Dear Ms Katarina Ivanković-Knežević,

I wanted to thank you on behalf of Eurochild and the CarePath Project partnership for participating in our conference last week on Aftercare for Care Leavers on 13th October. Your inspiring intervention contributed to both high-quality and complementary discussions across policy, research, practice and lived-experiences for care leavers in Europe. The EU has a critical role in 'levelling up' standards of aftercare across Europe by offering opportunities for exchange and learning, and channelling EU resources towards policy reform and service development through its funding programmes. We are eager to support this in Eurochild.

The conference was a strong conclusion to the work of the CarePath Project partnership over the past two years to implement a trauma-informed approach to aftercare services across Europe. On behalf of the partnership, I welcome you to explore the project's tools and resources that will be available on the <u>CarePath website</u> in the coming weeks.

Moreover, I would like to use this occasion to thank you for supporting Eurochild's work to raise the rights of children in alternative care on the political and policy agenda at EU level. As Europe's largest umbrella network for child rights civil society organisations, we are working to reform child welfare and protection systems across Europe. The CarePath Project formed part of this work, and I welcome you to connect with us to learn about how else we are working towards this goal.

It has been a real pleasure to cooperate in this conference with you and we look forward to our collaborations in the months and years ahead.

With kind regards,

Jana Hainsworth Secretary General





Hon Mr Dragoş Pîslaru European Parliament 60, rue Wiertz, Brussels

Brussels, 23 October 2020

Letter of thanks for participating in our conference 'Aftercare for Care Leavers: Exchanging lessons from across Europe' on 13 October 2020

Dear Mr Dragoș Pîslaru,

I wanted to thank you on behalf of Eurochild and the CarePath Project partnership for participating in our conference last week on Aftercare for Care Leavers on 13th October. Your inspiring intervention contributed to both high-quality and complementary discussions across policy, research, practice and lived-experiences for care leavers in Europe. The EU has a critical role in 'levelling up' standards of aftercare across Europe by offering opportunities for exchange and learning, and channelling EU resources towards policy reform and service development through its funding programmes. We are eager to support this in Eurochild.

The conference was a strong conclusion to the work of the CarePath Project partnership over the past two years to implement a trauma-informed approach to aftercare services across Europe. On behalf of the partnership, I welcome you to explore the project's tools and resources that will be available on the <u>CarePath website</u> in the coming weeks.

Moreover, I would like to use this occasion to thank you for supporting Eurochild's work to raise the rights of children in alternative care on the political and policy agenda at EU level. As Europe's largest umbrella network for child rights civil society organisations, we are working to reform child welfare and protection systems across Europe. The CarePath Project formed part of this work, and I welcome you to connect with us to learn about how else we are working towards this goal.

It has been a real pleasure to cooperate in this conference with you and we look forward to our collaborations in the months and years ahead.

With kind regards,

Jana Hainsworth Secretary General





Ms Valeria Setti Coordinator for the Rights of the Child European Commission Rue Montoyer 59, Brussels

Brussels, 23 October 2020

Letter of thanks for participating in our conference 'Aftercare for Care Leavers: Exchanging lessons from across Europe' on 13 October 2020

Dear Ms Valeria Setti,

I wanted to thank you on behalf of Eurochild and the CarePath Project partnership for participating in our conference last week on Aftercare for Care Leavers on 13th October. Your inspiring intervention contributed to both high-quality and complementary discussions across policy, research, practice and lived-experiences for care leavers in Europe. The EU has a critical role in 'levelling up' standards of aftercare across Europe by offering opportunities for exchange and learning, and channelling EU resources towards policy reform and service development through its funding programmes. We are eager to support this in Eurochild.

The conference was a strong conclusion to the work of the CarePath Project partnership over the past two years to implement a trauma-informed approach to aftercare services across Europe. On behalf of the partnership, I welcome you to explore the project's tools and resources that will be available on the <u>CarePath website</u> in the coming weeks.

Moreover, I would like to use this occasion to thank you for supporting Eurochild's work to raise the rights of children in alternative care on the political and policy agenda at EU level. As Europe's largest umbrella network for child rights civil society organisations, we are working to reform child welfare and protection systems across Europe. The CarePath Project formed part of this work, and I welcome you to connect with us to learn about how else we are working towards this goal.

It has been a real pleasure to cooperate in this conference with you and we look forward to our collaborations in the months and years ahead.

With kind regards,

Jana Hainsworth Secretary General





Empowering public authorities and professionals towards trauma-informed leaving care support

carepath

ERGO NGO: Working With Refugee Care Leavers In Greece

Sofios Panagiotis: Psychologist Georgouli Ioanna: Mental Health Counselor




About us



non-profit organization with a focus on strengthening psychosocial skills of children, adolescents and young ERGO Academy NGO (<u>www.ergo.academy</u>) is a Greek professionals who work with these groups through a adults, as well as empowering parents and holistic and systemic approach.



Objectives



The role of Ergo in Carepath Project has been...

...to develop and promote trauma-informed aftercare support in Greek organizations, as part of an integrated child protection system, by:

- order to effectively support traumatized children, adolescents and young adults - increasing the capacity of professionals, through training to the TIC model, in
- directly involving all beneficiaries in determining the most suitable aftercare option for them



2020 1000 2019 2018 2017 2016 2015

2014

100% Demographics (based on data from January 2020) 80% 60% Children 40% 20%

NSON 3

Men Women

\$

carepath

4

Source: UNHCR Greece 05 October 2020

> 10% 78%

Bank account

School enrolments⁵

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1000 AND 1000			Contraction of the second	The second se		
North Aegean	1,009	7%	Other	2,220	15%			
Peloponnese	101	1%	Total:	15,019		Inclusion to		
South Aegean	224	1%				national services*	vices	Rate
Thessalv	719	5%				AMKA ¹		45%
Total	15,019					PAAYPA ²		28%
10001	TOIOT					AFM ³		49%
						OAED ⁴		17%
						Bank account	t	4%
						School enrolments ⁵	Iments ⁵	64%
Recognized	Refu	geeg	Recognized Refugees in ESTIA II Accommodation Scheme	Accon	nmoc	dation S	ichen	Je
Region	#	%	Country of origin	#	%	Gender	#	%
Attica	3,773	55%	Syria	4,599	67%	Men	1,538	23%
Central Greece	273	4%	Iraq	852	13%	Women	1,572	23%
Central Macedonia	1,251	19%	Afghanistan	654	10%	Boys	1,900	28%
Crete	221	3%	Palestine	128	2%	Girls	1,729	26%

Region	#	%	Country of origin	*	%	Gender	*	%
Attica	3,773	55%	Syria	4,599	67%	Men	1,538	23%
Central Greece	273	4%	Iraq	852	13%	Women	1,572	23%
Central Macedonia	1,251	19%	Afghanistan	654	10%	Boys	1,900	28%
Crete	221	3%	Palestine	128	2%	Girls	1,729	26%
Epirus	336	5%	Somalia	108	2%	Total:	6,739	
North Aegean	126	2%	Other	398	%9			
Peloponnese	266	4%	Total:	6,739		Inclusion to		
South Aegean	30	1%				national services	Ices	Kate
Thesealu	463	7%				AMKA		/8%
		2				AFM ³		73%
l otal:	0,/37					OAFD ⁴		33%



 3,579
 24%

 3,887
 26%

 3,583
 24%

Women

5,290 35% 3,435 23%

Syria

7,935 52% 151 1%

3,259 22% 739 5% 882 6%

Central Greece Central Macedonia

Crete Epirus

Boys Girls

18% 6%

2,720 898 454

DR Congo

6% Iran

15,019

3% Total:

3,970 26%

Men

Gender

Asylum seekers in ESTIA II Accommodation Scheme

Country of origin Afghanistan

Region Attica Implementation of the TIC model through professionals dealing with refugees in: **Train the Trainers seminars to**

- Public Schools in Greece (particularly multicultural ones)
- Social Centers
- NGO's with child-centered orientation



The importance of TIC model when working with refugees



Through the training seminars, the field visits and the round tables organized with professionals working with refugees, the need for support in their work (capacity building) emerged. In particular we noticed that:

- a lack of training in skills and techniques was evident
- an organized work plan for every employee to refer to, was absent
- there weren't procedures aiming to protect the professionals from suffering an invasive trauma by working with refugees
- the population of refugees is vulnerable not only because of their past traumatic experiences, but also due to the fact that living in uncertainty, any moment may lead to a re-traumatic experience.



Interventions

Multicultural Schools





carepath

students and parents from different cultures

- students with previous traumatic experiences
- children who enter the school process in the middle of the year without knowing if and when they would have to interrupt
- children and parents who speak only the language of their homeland
- classes with children coming from different home countries with conflict cultures, customs etc.

Social Centers





Social Centers' personnel need to cope with:

- providing support and care to people through short-termed interventions
- a large alternation of beneficiaries
- a great variety of tasks with just a small number of corresponding professionals
- a lack of sufficient time in order to create a framework of security and trust
- non-common language

NGO's with child-centered or	d orientation
	The personnel need to cope with:
	 children who suffer from having lost or being separated from their parents
	 providing adequate care to traumatized children
	 children's expectation to reunification with their family
	 the management of beneficiaries coming from different cultures
	 the challenge of children's integration in the local society
	- the language barrier
	 the threat of burn out



The implementation of TIC model and its benefits

Professionals' benefits from the TIC model



External evaluations received from the professionals who were trained on the TIC model, showed:

- a reduction of work stress and burn out
- an increase of job satisfaction
- a reduction of turn over
- a provision of integrated psychosocial support services
- a reduction of invasive trauma
- a productive cooperation between the clinical and the administrative department of the organization ī
- more individualized interventions for beneficiaries (reducing the inequalities)
- a more effective emotional management among caregivers when confronting with feelings of "loss" and separation anxiety at the final stage of the departure of beneficiaries

Secondary Benefits



The empowerment of caregivers' skills also improved the situation for the beneficiaries in following aspects:

- The possibility of having a new re-traumatic experience was minimized by establishing a genuine relationship with caregivers
- The sense of belonging to a safe place was increased
- The experience of lower anxiety & stress regarding everyday life and their future
- Greater degree of engagement and satisfaction from their involvement in everyday activities and challenges
- Higher self-confidence when it comes to plan for their future
- In general, the beneficiaries enjoyed their right to participate in decision making processes concerning their lives, feeling therefore more integrated and welcome in the host country

Suggested Good Practices



- Provide a qualitative communication plan
- Create a good and resistant relationship
- Build a supportive group
- Offer an after care service (for refugees & professionals)



Our vision is to help Caregivers transform Refugee's "Road to Hell" into the "Road to Hells"

Thank you





Empowering public authorities and professionals towards trauma-informed leaving care support

carepath

Creating a CarePath Community around Europe

E-Trikala S.A. Department of Research and Communication Psychologist- Msc in Health Management **Christina Karaberi**

ReadLab, Co-Founder & Director, EU & International Cooperation Department Vassiliki Chatzipetrou



Co-funded by the European Union's Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme (2014-2020)





MOOC (Massive Open On-line Course)

carepath



- Allows the registration, open access and remote participation of a large number of participants simultaneously
- It can support a large scale interactive participation combining traditional course materials with audiovisual content and providing user forums that help build a community consisting of students, professors, and teaching assistants
- Promotes microlearning, as it allows the trainee to show progress efficiently in short timeframes
- Supports a learner-centered training model that promotes the creation of this active and stimulating CarePath training community

	11 4 0 ¥	🔣 poopoja. 👩 1 kononiera. 🔰 Toenka Piasoni. 🕴 My kutonit-Rede 歳 evopan-eopery- 🖉 sun (100,100). 🕌 heffus 🕴 Offici-Red 🐱 Filer - Dirplox. 🧔 Poljaci Vieterganae.	authorities and professionals How It Works Find Courses Schools & Partners Hebp		View Course	ten staactoge n sada	Course Number CP01-GRx	ues related to good practices of 🛛 📩 Classes Start 23 lav 2020
(1) Coffee livesk latti-lives + x • Carebetin Teauma-Informed lev. x +	← ⇒ C & a mooccarepath-project-eu/courses/course-v):Carepath+CP01-GRe+2020/about	yld. 🚯 I Elsonavljanc, 👖 Telensča Telaacia, 🧚 My account - Redim. 🔣 europass eop	CarePath: Trauma-informed leaving care support empowering public authorities and professionals	CarePath: Trauma-informed leaving care support empowering public authorities and professionals	You are enrolled in this course	Before you start	Prerequisites	The course is addressed to people who are interested in deepening in the issues related to good practices of trauma-informed invervention dedicated to traumatised children

CarePath MOOC characteristics



- Dedicated to an in-depth analysis of the essential aspects of trauma-informed care (TIC).
- Developed into thematic sections organized in line with a specific and multi-dimensional perspective with a further focus on the political and organisational components involved in the complex TIC model
- MOOC involved the use of active learning techniques including videos, case studies, articles, presentations in Power Point, fora
- Developed in several languages: English, Italian, French, Hungarian and Greek;
- 8-week overall duration: a total of 60 hours of commitment for the participant (8 hours per week)

CarePath MOOC educational modules



Educational modules

- 1. The first module offered a review of the latest scientific literature on the most effective and efficient ways to support traumatized children. This training section covered fundamental concepts such as empowerment, the risk of retraumatization
- The second module focused on research topics in and about TIC. In particular, the key elements of research projects were presented from a methodological perspective, с.
- The third module focused on the legal aspects and aimed to provide, through reference to laws and regulations, basic knowledge about the legal framework and principles within which trauma-informed care can be applied. ю.
- Final assignment: The final module of the training course aimed to enable participants to consolidate and critically use the knowledge learned in the previous sections 4.

CarePath MOOC in numbers





224 Greek speaking trainees



164 English speaking trainees



26 French speaking trainees





Continuing supporting and engaging the CarePath Communitythe CarePath Provision Mechanism tool

CarePath Provision Mechanism

carepath

- An on line tool that allows both professionals and care leavers to access a "request for support" service in 4 countries (Greece, Italy, Belgium and Hungary).
- There are 4 different target groups identified in this tool (children, care leavers, adults and families)
 One can find a service/ organisation in his/her country offering one or a combination of the following types of services: accommodation, legal aid, psychosocial support, food and personal hygiene, education, immigration and advocacy.



CarePath Provision Mechanism

carepath



- 77 organisations from Greece
- 112 organisations from Italy
- 29 organisations from Hungary
- 71 organisations from Belgium





CarePath Provision Mechanism evaluation and impact





- Approximately 200 users

ī

- The mechanism was pilot-tested and evaluated by the users in terms of usability, effectiveness etc
- Both on line evaluation tool and small face to face interviews.

CarePath Provision Mechanism sustainability



Face to face interviews answers

Yes. An excellent work has been done and we wish to be able to use this tool in the future. If this is not feasible due to lack of funding, perhaps some public organization should support its continuation.

The mechanism should remain as a useful tool in our effort to support refugee population, families and children

The continuation of the mechanism can only have positive results. It should be endorsed by other organizations as well and presented in dedicated workshops and conferences

Online questionnaire answers

Sustainability suggestions via the on line questionnaire:

- Make the online mechanism available and constantly updated after the finalization of the project
- The mechanism should be linked with all public organizations in the field of mental health and well-being.
- Link the online mechanism with the consortium organizations and enhance the dissemination and promotion of the CarePath online service mechanism.



Integration Dependencies

dencies carepath	In order to promote changes for the support of traumatised children at policy level we have identified all	s (in all four countries) responsible for child protection systems to	ables and promote the TIC approach.	Furthermore, a more extensive network of organisations has been identified throughout this project that	countries.	On an organisational or systemic level, Trauma-Informed Care changes organisational culture to	emphasize respecting and appropriately responding to the effects of trauma at all levels.	Changing the approach on professionals and promoting the TIC approach is considered one of the most	project.	
Integration Dependencies	In order to promote changes for the support of tra	regional or national public authorities (in all four o	mainstream the main project deliverables and promote the TIC approach.	Furthermore, a more extensive network of organi	do not necessary belong to the four countries.	On an organisational or systemic level, Trauma-I	emphasize respecting and appropriately respond	Changing the approach on professionals and pro	important impacts of the CarePath project.	







Co-funded by the Rights, Equality and Citizenship (REC) Programme of the European Union

> Care experienced advocates, SOS Children's Villages International 'Leaving Care' project – what is being done in Romania and Austria to support young people leaving care

13th October 2020 – online conference Care Path - Eurochild







- No specific help for Care Leavers
- In General: "Short-work" was established: 80-90% of salary, so that enterprises can keep their employees –
- But financial aid primarily for freelancers, big and small businesses
- When student:
- Family grant was once payed double
- The last semester wasn't counted as a full semester, in case one's study time takes onger and a loss of the student grant is at risk
- The city of Vienna provided a 50€ voucher to go out for lunch







Problemes evoked by the coronavirus:

- Unemployment
- Depths
- Extension of education or apprenticeship time
- Also often due to the lack of digital connection
- Higher drop-out risk
- Mental health went downwards
- Problems with their flat or house
- Some even moved back to their parents









- Care Leaver advocate in governmental area
- When new laws are introduced, a Care Leaver representative should inform about and emphasize on the specific situation of Care Leavers – often they don't put CL into account when making new laws
- Psychological support for Care Leavers
- stable backup do struggle and are more likely to have mental problems than young In critical times (especially now during the Coronavirurs) young adults without a people with a stable family situation they can count on







- Creating a stable network of all Care Leavers
- A lot of young people still doesn't even know that they take part of the "big family" of Care Leavers and that we are all here to support each other
- All Institutions should work together to grant every young adult access to this network
- Youthlinks







- An ideal Leaving Care process
- Quality over quantity
- Doesn't mean that we shouldn't support every young person who needs support!
- When young people leave, they should feel save in their new independent life
- Even when they face obstacles, their should be a backup to turn to when they face them!
- Voucher for some hours of Care Leaver support



S
Z
B
H S S
ELSS
S S S S S S
0



- ± 4000 new Care Leavers every 4 years in Austria
- Neither only financial support nor only psychological support
- Both is essential and should be provided if necessary
- Both is mostly provided in the "emerging adulthood" in Europe by one's one family
- A small help from the government could change the life of many young people who had the unlucky situation to find themselves in disfunctional families and therefore smaller chances in life




Empowering public authorities and professionals towards trauma-informed leaving care support

carepath

CarePath Project: a Journey long 2 years and 6 months

Luca Rollè on behalf of the partnership



Co-funded by the European Union's Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme (2014-2020)









The content of this presentation represents the views of the author only and is his/her sole responsibility. The European Commission I does not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.



MAINSTREAMING

WHO WE ARE OBJECTIVE





Eurochild	Ergo Academy E-Trikala ReadLab	Cordelia	University of Turin IACP
Belgium:	Greece:	Hungary:	ltaly:



CarePath partners share a profound commitment to human rights promotion, respect of children's rights, gender equality principles, and equal employment opportunities





Our Main Objective was to increase the knowledge on TIC in each partners' Country and promote Trauma Informed Care Practices





Identify transferable procedures and trauma-informed working methods in integrated child protection systems

Increase the capacity of professionals in these systems to effectively support traumatised children Develop a sustainable mechanism to support organizations, NGOs and professionals to identify "good" services for children in care and leaving care.



ACTIVITIES

Identification of transferable working methods in integrated trauma-informed child protection systems.

Establishment of the CarePath integrated service provision mechanism for cases of traumatised children leaving care Pilot testing of the programme, delivery of train the trainer and training seminars



Development of a training programme for professionals working with traumatised children.





The partnership expects that CarePath will have multiplier effects after its end.

- The integration of the training programme by key partners;
- The diffusion of the CarePath mechanism and the roadmap; I
- The signing of the Memorandum of Understanding by authorities, organizations, NGOs; I
- Individual learners seeking to improve their skills are expected to share the MOOC

with their peers, during face to face or social media interaction,



UNIVERSITY Of Jork

Mike Stein Emeritus Professor

Mike Stein

Supporting young people from care to adulthood: policy and practice in European countries

What I will talk about

- Research Network on Transitions to Adulthood from Care (INTRAC) Examples of 'good practice' by 11 members of the International
- Peer research by care experienced young people to find out about the lives of care leavers in 4 countries
- From these countries, the presentation explores examples from:
- Pathways to adulthood in foster care, residential care and kinship care, and;
- Leaving care law and policy; involving care leavers, and; how policy and practice examples may promote resilience

adulthood: patterns of transition	never felt more lonely in my life"	Most young people left care by 18 years of age, the age range being between 15 to 21 years (11 countries)	lulthood was more accelerated, compressed, ng people in the general population	nanges in lives at younger age	At odds with normative and neurobiological developments	Evidence this contributes to mental health problems, when adding to pre-care maltreatment and further instability in care
Pathways to adulthe transiti	"I moved out at 16, I never felt m	Most young people left care by 18 years of a being between 15 to 21 years (11 countries)	Their transition to adulthood wa linear - than for young people ir	Coping with major changes in lives at younger age	At odds with normative and neu	Evidence this contributes to mental health problems, w to pre-care maltreatment and further instability in care

Can be seen as a 'retraumatization' process

stability and attachment in foster care Pathways to adulthood: examples of

"She was always there for me, I could talk about my problems, I was listened to, I was loved"

- Informal fostering arrangements young people settled in foster care, became 'part of the family' and remained until adulthood
- 'Informal' personal and practical support from their former carers after leaving care, even those who had difficult relationships
- Legal arrangements for young people to remain in foster care where they were settled until they reached 21
- Foster parents were supported financially and young people continued to be legally entitled to leaving care services

Pathways to adulthood: continuity and transitions in residential care

- Legal rights for 'Staying put' to 21 or 'Staying close'
- by staff who visited or stopped overnight, depending on the needs Residential homes for up to eight young people - flexible support of the young people and then.....
- young people if it worked out well, they could become tenants Residential workers arrange 'follow on' accommodation with
- accommodation, some evenings a week, before moving in and becoming tenants when they felt prepared and ready Young people leaving care could start 'living in' their

Pathways to adulthood: attachment in kinship care

"I didn't see myself as being 'in care' "

- grandparents, uncles and aunts, brothers or sisters, family friends In 'kinship care' young people live with their extended families
- In this way, kinship carers are seen as giving young people a strong family identity, young people not seeing themselves as 'in care'
- Most young people remain with their kinship carers until they are ready to leave, experiencing a normative transition to adulthood
- Evidence of variable financial and personal support
- In most 'western' European countries more young people living in foster or, residential care than kinship care

Leaving care law and policy: from 'permissive' laws to 'duties and rights' 'Tackling territorial injustices to reduce inequalities''
 The main trend in the development of legal and policy frameworks in 'western' European countries has been from
 'permissive' legislation, part of general child welfare, countries 'may do' but are not required 'to do', or to implement
 to a strengthening of the law by the introduction of 'legal duties' or 'rights' ('must do') to provide specialist leaving care services
 Improving the <i>rights</i>, opportunities and life chances of care leavers
 A positive development in reducing the inequalities between different areas within countries

Involving care leavers: individual decisions

"Give us a chance to find a voice...Gizza Say"

- UNCRC provides a legal framework for promoting the *rights of* young people as 'active citizens' - 'children's rights' legislation
- In England there is a legal and policy framework for involving young people at different stages of the leaving care process
- planning process, services to meet needs, up to 25 years of age In the making of care plans; assessment of needs; the pathway
- Independent Reviewing Officers to ensure young people views are heard, also 'advocate to act on their behalf

Involving care leavers: policy and research

"I was interviewing care leavers as a care leaver myself, giving them a voice...it gave me confidence to talk to new people"

- Peer research by care leavers an opportunity to participate in the research process, in partnership with professional researchers
- Young people can contribute to the different stages of the process
- Interviews; research findings; policy and practice; dissemination
- Training, accreditation in new skills can enhance career
- Children in Care Councils voice on local policies, their impact on leaving care, leading to 'Pledge' to provide services

Evaluating policy and practice: promoting strengths and resilience

- "Care its given me great opportunities, my parents didn't care"
- Having a coherent framework, grounded in evidence, negotiated with staff and young people, important
- Providing stability in young people's lives lays the emotional foundation of resilience through attachment and continuity
- Examples of informal foster care, remaining in foster care to 21; transition from residential care and the use of kinship carers
- Opportunities for gradual normative transitions from care
- placements, carers and schools working together, 'catch-up' Educational success associated with resilience – stable
- Leads to careers, new opportunities, leisure, turning points

Evaluating policy and practice: promoting strengths and resilience

- Involving young people in individual decisions and policies which shape their lives is connected to promoting resilience
- Opportunities to plan an be in control, choice and empowerment
- Peer research re-frame adversities, including through reciprocity, being able to 'give', not just identified as 'a person in need'
- only able to access more services, more *interactive* relationships Young people whose transitions from care are successful are not
- education and employment and *participate* in community and Able to negotiate good quality accommodation, engage in leisure activities

Evaluating policy and practice: promoting strengths and resilience

"I've learnt to live out of care....with the help of a back-up team''.....resilience is 'ordinary magic'

- Specialist leaving care teams and services
- Holistic preparation in practical, emotional and social skills
- Pathway services education, careers, financial support, accommodation, health, mental health and well-being
- Engaging care leavers with different needs young parents, BAME, seeking asylum, mental health, disabilities, in trouble, LGBTQ
- Strengths and resilience to help young people 'bounce back', overcome trauma, to fulfil their 'ordinary magic'

Supporting young people from care to adulthood: policy and practice in European countries

This presentation is based on:

Stein, M (2019) Supporting young people from care to adulthood: international practice, Child and Family Social Work, pp 400-405, 24,3

This article contains a full list of follow-up references covering the different examples and the resilience framework



Key word \rightarrow Partecipation





The right of the child to be heard

 \Box

own views the right to express those views freely in all matters affecting the child, the views of the child being given due weight in accordance with the «States Parties shall assure to the child who is capable of forming his or her age and maturity of the child» (Article 12 CRC, par. 1)





1	100 Corona Eagnoni	univorcita Italy	Student	coropo fog Voc	10 00 10 0 10 O
	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS				
2					1
3					
4					1
_					
5					
6					
6					
7					
8					!
9					
1(
1					
1					
1					<u>!</u>
14					
1					
1 .					i i i
1					5
1					E.
1					6
19					!
21					
2					!
2					
20 21 22 24 21 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21					
2					
_					
24					:
2					
Ζ.					
20					
_					
2					
2					(
2					· · · · · ·
29					(
2					
51					· · · · · ·
3					(
32					(
2					
Э.					· · · · ·
34					(
2					
3					ľ
3					(
					l l
3					(
2					
50					
3					
41					
4					
4.					
4					
-т,					
44					
4					
4					
4					
4					· · · · · ·
+					·
3: 3: 3: 3: 3: 3: 3: 3: 3: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 5:					
E					
50					·

51	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	
77	
78	
79	
80	
81	
82	
83	
84	
85	
86	
87	
88	
89	
90	
91	
92	
93	
94	
95	
96	
97	
98	
99	
100	

	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	
10		
1(1(
10		
10 10		
10		
10		
10		
10		
10		
1(1:		ŀ
1.		•
1:		1
1:		-
1:		ľ
1:		ľ
1:		ŀ
1:		F.
1:		•
1:		-
1: 1:		-
1.		••
12 12		
1.		-
12 12 12 12 12		-
1.		
1.		-
1.		-
1.		-
12		•
12		-
13 13 13 13		-
1:		ŀ
1:		-
1:		

PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS

PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS

r

β

K r r ĸ r r K K r r K K ۴ r k r r K r k r

PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS

. . .

Staff & Speakers - already in Whova



	Speakers' Assistants
	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	

	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS			
р	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS			
p				
p				
р				
р				
p				
р р				
p				
-				
2				
p n				
p				
p p				
р р				
р р				
р р				
r n				
p p				
р р				
р р				
р				
p				
eı				
7				
р				
р р				
р р				
р р				
р р				
٢				
Number	o Entry Id PERSONA	Name		Organisat Organisat Position/ti Email Add
--------	-----------------------	--------	------------	---
	PERSONA	L DATA	JIVII 2012	
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				

50	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64 65	
65 66	
66	
67	
68 60	
69 70	
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	
77	
78	
79	
80	
81	
82	
83	
84	
85	
86	
87	
88	
89	
90	
91	
92	
93	
94	
95	
96	
97	
98	
99	

100	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
101	
102	
102	
104	
105	<u> </u>
106	1
107	1
108	1
109	1
110	1
111	1
112	1
113	1
114	
115	1
116	1
117	
	1
118	
119	
120	<u> </u>
121]
122	1
123	1
124	1
125	1
126	1
127	1
128	1
129	1
130	
131	
132	
	1
133	
134	
135	1
136	j
137	<u> </u>
138	3
139	1
140	1
141	1
142	1
143	1
144	1
145	1
146	1
147	
148	1
148	
149	1

150	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
	FERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
151	
152	
153	
154	
155	
156	
157	
158	
159	
160	
161	
162	
163	
164	
165	
166	
167	
168	
169	
170	
171	
172	
172	
174	
175	
176	
177	
178	
179	
180	
181	
182	
183	
184	
185	
186	
187	
188	
189	
190	
191	
192	
193	
194	
195	
196	
197	
198	
199	

200	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
201	
202	
203	
204	
205	
206	
207	
208	
208	
209	
210	
212	1
213	1
214	1
215	1
216	1
217	
218	1
219	
220	
221	
222	
223	4
224	
225	
226	
227	
228	1
229	1
230	1
231	1
232	1
233	1
234	1
235	1
236	1
237	1
238	1
239	1
240	4
241	4
242	4
243	4
244	1
245	1
246	1
247	
248	
249	

250	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
251	
252	
253	
254	
255	
256	
257	
258	
259	
260	
261	
262	
263	
264	
265	
266	
267	
268	
269	
270	
271	
272	
273	
274	
275	
276	
277	
278	
279	
280	
281	
282	
283	
284	
285	
286	
287	
288	
289	
290	
291	
292	
293	
294	
295	
296	
297	
298	
299	1

300	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	studenteschee more@Ves	have
301	FERSONAL DATA OMISSIS		
302			
303			
304			
305			
306			
307			
308			
309			
310			
311			
312			
313			
314			
315			
316			
317			
318			
319			
320			
321			
322			
323			
324			
325			
326			
327			
328			
329			
330			
331			
332			
333			
334			
335			
336			
337			
338			
339			
340			
341			
342			
343			
344			
345			
346			
347			
348			
349			

350	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
351	
352	
353	
354	
355	
356	
357	
358	
359	
360	
361	
362	
363	
364	
365	
366	
367	
368	
369	
370	
371	
372	
373	
374	
375	
376	
377	
378	
379	
380	
381	
382	
383	
384	
385	
386	
387	
388	
389	
390	
391	
392	
393	
394	
395	
396	
397	
398	
399	

400	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
401	
402	
403	
404	
405	
406	
407	
408	
409	
410	
411	
412	
413	
414	
415	
416	
417	
418	
419	
420	
421	
422	
423	
424	
425	
426	
427	
428	
429	
430	
431	
432	
433	
434	
435	
436	
437	
438	

Date Crea Created B Last Upda Updated EIP Addres: Last Page Completion Status

PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS

20	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	

20	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS

2	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS

20PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	

20	
20	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
20	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
20	
20	
20	
20 20 20	
20	
20	
20	
20 20 20 20 20 20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	
20	
20 20 20 20 20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	
20	
20	

R PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS R R	20	
	20	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
	20	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	20	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	20	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	20	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	20	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	20	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	20	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	20	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	20	
20 20	20	
20 20	20	
20 20	20	
20 20	20	
20 20	20	
20 20	20	
20 20	20	
20 20 20 20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20	20	
20 20	20	
20	20	
	20	
	20	
	20	
	20	
	20	
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20 20	20	
20 20 20	20	
20 20 20	20	
20	20	
	20	
24	20	

-	PERSONAL DATA OMISSIS
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20 20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
20	
20	
20	
20	
əlle	